Missoula Urban Area Parks Use Survey

Conducted by

Jim Sylvester Bureau of Business and Economic Research The University of Montana-Missoula This report presents the results of a Missoula City/County Parks and Recreation Department commissioned telephone survey conducted by the Bureau of Business and Economic Research at The University of Montana. The Bureau conducted the interviews during October 2003.

The Missoula City/County Parks and Recreation Department commissioned this survey to learn the opinions of a true cross section of valley residents. Persons testifying at public meetings, writing letters to the op-ed pages of local newspapers, or calling the various "hotlines" may not be representative of the entire population. In addition, the Missoula City/County Parks and Recreation Department was interested in whether or not there were differences between residents of various regions of the valley.

The questionnaire was constructed according to accepted principals and guidelines of survey research. The Missoula City/County Parks and Recreation Department provided the topics and some draft questions. The questionnaire was written by trained personnel at the Bureau and then reviewed by the Missoula City/County Parks and Recreation Department. The questionnaire can be found in Appendix 3.

This survey was administered by telephone using a Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) process. Sampling was conducted using a Random-Digit Dial (RDD) process. The population sampled was all adult Missoulians who live in a household with a working telephone. This population should not be confused with all Missoulians, since it excludes households without working telephones, the institutional population, and Missoulians absent from the area during the survey period. The approximate sampling error for this survey is plus or minus 5.0 percent. This means that using this study design, in 95 of 100 samples a sampled mean would be within 5.0 percent of the population mean.

The survey was administered from October 5, 2003 through October 15, 2004. Of the 526 eligible respondents contacted, 434 (82 percent) participated in the survey. Table 1 shows the response rate calculation.

Respondents were selected randomly within households. The person answering the telephone had the same probability of being selected as any adult member of the household. If the selected member of the household was not home, an appointment was made to interview the absent respondent. Sampled individuals who were out of state during the administration period, and individuals with medical problems that precluded participation were ineligible. Telephone numbers drawn by the RDD process were ineligible if they were out-of-service, fax machines, or businesses. Numbers for which there was no answer were called repeatedly, during morning, evening, and weekend hours. Those numbers that still did not answer were ineligible.

Table 1: Response rate calculation

Total sample	526
Refusals	76
Non-interviews	16
Completions	434
Response rate	82.5%

Satisfaction with Missoula Area Parks

Overall level of satisfaction with Missoula area parks and conservation lands is high. The average satisfaction level was nearly four (Figure 1), on a scale from one to five where five is very satisfied, The detailed data are reported in Appendix 1.

Overall park system

Conservation lands

Urban trail system

Park maintenance

Not at all 2 3 4 Vefv

Figure 1: Satisfaction With Missoula Area Park System

Respondents were asked if they had easy access to their neighborhood parks. Three out of four respondents agreed with the following statement (Figure 2):




Figure 2: "I have easy access to my neighborhood park."

Thirteen percent of the respondents said they did not use the parks and could not answer the question.

Respondents were asked a series of potential reasons for not using the parks more (Figure 3). Lack of restrooms was reported by about a quarter. Nearly 1 in five mentioned dogs running loose and safety concerns because of rowdy behavior by others. Distance from home, difficult access and several other reasons followed. User and program fees do not appear to keep Missoula residents from using the parks more. Physical disability was mentioned by about five percent, however this number may be low because some respondents did not answer all the questions because they said they no longer use the parks. Many of these individuals were elderly.

A follow-up question asking for anything else preventing them from using parks more resulted in comments about restrooms. These comments can be found in Appendix 2.

Figure 4 shows how respondents view the number of different types of public parks. A lack of indoor- cultural facilities jumps to the top. Respondents generally think there are enough developed parks and public golf courses. There appears to be a somewhat mixed opinion regarding conservation lands.

Figure 3: Reasons do not use Missoula Area Parks more





Figure 4: Does the Missoula Area have enough of these types of parks?



Respondents were then asked a list of park facilities and features. Figure 5 shows the percentage of respondents saying there were not enough of various features or facilities. Swimming pools both indoor and outdoor were mentioned as lacking by roughly half the respondents. Teen recreation centers were mentioned by a similar percentage.

Indoor swimming pools Teen recreation centers 53% Outdoor swimming or wading pools ′% 42% Skateparks Dog specific areas 41% Sculpture-public artworks 40% 39% Exercise or fitness equipment Outdoor facilities for performances and festivals 36% Arts & craft facilities 36% 35% Wildlife and natural information stations Natural areas within urban parks 35% In-line hockey rinks 34% 33% Indoor recreation facilities Senior recreation centers 33% River access sites 31% Paved trails 31% Passive use areas 28% Outdoor basketball courts **7**% Natural surface trails Playgrounds Multipurpose fields 23% Tennis courts Picnic facilities 21% Developed manicured park areas Softball-baseball parks 14% 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% Percentage of respondents

Figure 5: Not enough of these facilities and features in Missoula area parks

Use of Missoula Area Parks and Conservation Lands

Passive use was the most common park use by Missoula valley adults. Most adults only used the parks a few times during the last 12 months. Figure 6 shows intensity of park use and Figure 7 shows how the parks and conservation lands are used.

Of the Missoula households whose children used the parks (Figure 8 & 9) swimming (38%) was the predominate activity, followed by walking and hiking (36%). Soccer (28%) was the team sport most mentioned.

Figure 6: Adult Use of Missoula Area Parks




Figure 7: Adult Park Activities




Figure 8: Children in the parks






Figure 9: Children's Park Activities



Favorite Missoula Area Park

Greenough and Bonner Parks are Missoula residents favorite parks. Caras and McCormick follow. Respondents like Bonner and Caras parks for the activities available. They like Greenough for the wildness and trail system. The reasons why respondents like the parks are listed below.

Figure 10: **Favorite Missoula Park**



Bonner Park

Kids like the park

Because he knows the park

Because there are lot of different things you can you there. Hacky sac, listen to music etc.

Close to home

Close to home and a lot to do

Close

Huge playground

Large and it has a lot of room for dogs to run around and there's a children area and tennis courts and it is pretty

Like the access and i like the band concerts

Location convenience

Open ness, equipment is safe for all ages, like to have the entertainment there

Swing sets

Wide variety of things to do, concerts, picnic area

Band shell, activities for kids, centrally located, trees

Close to home

I like it because it is very diverse

It has everything you need in a park right there.

There's several things to do there

Very big and is away from busy streets but it needs more trees

Very little trees and good mix of empty space and developed areas

We used to live close to it and it has a good facility.

Large enough to do activities there

Really big and easy to get to offers a lot

The concerts that come there and close to home.

The open spaces

The pool and the people that sit out there

All the features it has for kids and families

Kids were raised around there and its open and nice

Summer concerts and wading pools (which need to be open at night because on summer nights it

doesn't get dark till nine

Turtles

Variety of activities good for different ages, not so concerned with questionable behaviors of other park users

A good example of multi use park for a fairly small space

Big, roomy, not bunched together kept up well

Can do just about anything

Convenient and can be rented to hold group activities

Family friendly in a nice neighborhood and is accessible

Good for children

It's always clean and room for lots of people

It has a little bit of everything for everyone

It is spacious but not on a busy street and has a good variety of things for everyone

Like the concerts

Like all the facilities for the kids

Picnic area

The children's equipment

Variety of things to do

Big. and so there's lots of room to spread out big plaything

Helped build part of it.

Is the play equipment for every child's age.

Spacious and good kids equipment

The concerts

The concerts that they have there

The facilities.

Wading pool x

Because have big playground and band shell

Because of location and the activity there close to home

Because the basketball court, plenty of room to play baseball, football and there are tennis courts. Also playground for little kids.

Close to home

Close to home nice swing sets, basketball and tennis, and wading pool

It's close to where i live

It's in a good location and good community standing

Its just close to my home

Just like it

Large and has commanding views, it's in a neighborhood also so the streets aren't so busy

More to do for the grandkids

Open areas not crowded

Performance space x good children's play area

The children friendly play equipment

Quite and not a lot of people, good for little kids

Caras Park

The carousel

A lot of things for the kids to do

Carousel Good location

Convenient

Dragon Hollow

Get to walk out of my back yard of work and eat there

Have all kinds of public activities

It's by the river and it's downtown. It's actually on public land, not around houses so I'm not interfering with individuals

Its beautiful location

Its nice

Like it because it's clean and it has a lot of options for different kid ages, Carousel it would be nice for them to add an amusement park aspect too many homeless

Like the carousel

Lot of stuff to do festivals by the river half natural half architectural

More child oriented

My son likes the playground

Next to the bar, contractor, helped to build stairs

There's a lot of activities going on X I like to carousel, also I like to go to dinner in the park

Well maintained and good trail systems and don't have to worry about getting mugged

Wide open and sunny and it's a good place to get exercise within the city, but I don't like all of the unattached dogs I wish that was patrolled more

All the live music that they have

Carousel

The carousel

Wednesday in the park and the other venues

The trail by the Carousel

Close to down town

Down by the river

It's maintained well

River walking availability to downtown

The carousel

The lunch activities during the warm months

activities

I like that Caras is by the river. Any park that is by the river is desirable.

Places for children and adults do a good job and activities going on

Activities they have there

Carousel and playground

Good facility for all kinds of outdoor activities, by the river, centrally located

Its charming and i think the carousel is nice, we

The activities that are there all the time

Kids like it

Greenough

Almost feel like your in the wilderness instead of town

Because in more natural than the rest

Because it is a natural park

Can keep on going

Close to town but still natural

Good mountain biking.

It's got clean air the trees, the water Being able to take the dog with

It's nice and spread out

It's quit and close to natures way

Its kind of wooded/city area, place for the dogs and kids, amuse yourself.

Its not crowded x its pretty x easy access to get to

Just the one I most frequent, not really familiar with the others

Kept it so natural, wild, cheaper to maintain

Like the fact it's still somewhat in natural state.

Like the way it feels, positive energy x the land and river she's a witch and so its all positive in there., quiet further in.

Location easy to get to like the trails

Natural

Not that many people

Pretty natural not as developed as some

Sort of natural, wish they wouldn't reroute the river x nice park for picnic, bird watch, kids can play

The fact the creek run through it and the trails are nice

The natural area

The natural setting

The natural setting it's relaxing

The natural wild kind of setting, trails that meander thru the wild parts x size, big

The naturalness of the park

The trail system, small and easy access

Trails, the naturalness of it, size

Usually empty like the river

Very accessible, wild qualities about it, downtown area

I like Greenough because it's natural, not terribly developed. Are facilities to have a picnic and there's trails and a campfire ring.

It has river access long trails and trees and not right in the middle of town so less crowded

Like walking with the water, access to the creek

Scenery and paved trails

The naturalness of the area

Was close to home

Amount of green space and natural setting

B/c its more natural and on the outskirts of city

Grew up there and know the area

Like the trails their

Pretty, nice place to take a walk, close to the water

The river goes thru it, the benches and the view

The stream along side it and winds around the wilderness

Trails and beauty of it

Not crowded x freedom to be out in nature

Beautiful

More natural the trails

Because it's close to home.

Get away from noise X close by,

I like it because it's more natural, with trees and water and it's quiet.

I like to walk and it's a great place to walk, its beautiful

It's a beautiful place to walk

It is natural and there's a nice trail and the creek

Its so accessible has the creek and has good bike access

Just quieter less people

More natural and a lot of walking area

Nice mixture with natural setting with urban

Proximity to rattlesnake creek and the layout with the trees its just a nice place to go

The natural area and trails are peaceful

The privacy and tranquil trails x like the creek running through it

There's so much nature there close to town, and preservation. They have the information signs. It's wheelchair accessible. It has different trails as well. It keeps its habitat intact. It provides an important corridor for wildlife.

Not a recreational park its one where you can walk around and enjoy the scenery

Quiet x nice to walk in x peaceful x animals

Because it is more natural and the creek.

Close to home, Pretty and huge for get togethers

It's more natural

Nice place to walk by the creek

There is less people their And the natural way it is

Trails to walk on and being next to water

Trees and the paths going through the trees and its not crowded and it smells good in the spring Because of natural setting close to town

It's a nice mixture of dirt trails, paved trails, you're by the creek, picnic and playground areas

Its like going to the mtns locally, the accessibility has been improved

Its natural and not too crowded

Seems more natural of a setting x big

That it wild but cared for the plant diversity its peaceful but in the city. But needs more open bathrooms.

The trail system

A lot of nature and not a lot of human interference x be able to mtn bike and take my dog there

Playfair

Multi-recreational

Like to walk there

The walking trail

Because it has everything

Close to home, has baseball field, a swimming pool and everything needed

The tennis courts The soccer fields

Multi use

Well kept and lots of facilities, can go swimming and picnic and not as much vagrancy

Like across from street, pretty X

More age appropriate for her kids ages

Multiuse area could be maintained and landscaped a little better

They have a jogging trail Many things you can do there Good parking easy to get.

Close by, and trails

It is a pretty area with big trees, walked when my kids were there for baseball.

The natural setting with the wildlife in it

Close to house and has a real nice walking path, need to finish path part by the swimming pool

Fort Missoula

Enjoy the walking trails X using the fields

I go there primarily to walk my dog in the morning but I like to watch sports there, and generally there's not too many people there when I use it in the morning and evenings.

it's a big park X easy access

Size and isn't crowded

The size, the variety of activities that it can handle such as soccer, football, tennis, some basketball, and location.

The trail system

Open areas

Never crowded, like walk and run over there

Close by

Close, not that congested; lots of room

Its handy to where I live and has a nice walking path

Openness

The openness X

Trails and variety of things to do

Lost for children to do & well maintained

Walking on the trails, picnics and watch games

Combined manicured park land, river

The open spaces

Close to work like the trail system and area in General

Good surface, know how far going, and safe

Maintained and clean and enough stuff for kid to play on

Openness

Open x not a lot of regulations out there

The natural setting

The open space

The trail that runs through it

The walking

Variety of activities

Walking trail. X spacious

The natural area

McCormick

Down by river nice area

Easy access and non motor vehicle access

Good place for passive use

Got everything to offer

McCormick- I just like the area

Size and variety

The river trail

The trail that fallows the river

Trail system along the river trees etc.

Very pleasant place to be having it along the river

Closest to my house, trail system, has everything.

Incorporates many different uses, urban area, ample parking, multi seasonal, summer programs

On the river, have access to downtown, the pond, the ball field, and other things, rural enough

Can walk dog down there

Like to swimming, fishing, baseball, Frisbee, right in town

Location, outdoor swimming pool X the interconnectedness with the trail system

Multiple uses, fishing, pool, field areas, assortment of things going on, lacks playground things for children

Spend most of time there

The trail that runs along the river and close to home.

Because I can get to the swimming pool

Because of the quantity of trees the river All kinds of thing for children to do

Because there's basketball over there and it's not too far from where I live and it's not too crowded.

Plus they've got the baseball field there too.

The park he grew up around

Close to water & easy to get too

For the baseball field

Open and variety of activities

The softball fields playground pool

It offers something for everyone

Like the skating in the winter pond good all year round

More for children to do

They have wide open spaces for throwing things & Horseshoe spaces

Because its sort of private and it has nice facilities to rest and walk.

Nice by the river, pretty good size

That it's not near a busy street less people use it by the river And can bring the dog

There's a lot of things to do

Kim Williams

The length of it could develop the other side one for bikes and one for pedestrians

It's peaceful, there's the native plants, there's places to sit, very meditative with the native plants and the river, and the landscape changes, there's variety.

Mix of mostly natural high traffic use, close to neighborhoods, Greenough also, until went downhill Right along the river and there's trails and its kept up nice

It's close too where they live, well lit at night and good for the family.

Great for taking walks, well-lit at night, seems safe, friendly

Nice trail, easy, pretty, well-maintained

Big and scenic, covers a lot of area

Near the bark park

I ride my bike on the trail. Especially like being near the river and how long the trail is.

Look out over it from office and it really makes downtown beautiful

Mt. Jumbo and Mt. Sentinel

Nice to bike and hike trails so close to the city

Hiking areas open spaces

It's the only one i know

The exercise plus a chance to continue it on as far as you want to go and most of all the view, with plenty of area to get away and sit

The fact that you can hike up the "m"

Used to hike it every day, when i first came here, i liked the view

Fun place

Good uphill walk and the there's no dog leash laws

The walk up the hill

Other urban parks

It's a Has a really nice area

Grew up there

The equipment

Like the closeness of it, seems to be geared towards kids, right over by the school

The variety of activities

Huge trees especially in fall, residential area is nice

Watching the flowers and girls

Convenience

Decorated nice kept clean x the nicest park in Missoula

I like it because it is versatile and beautiful

Likes to have a good cry there

Pretty peaceful well kept

Roses and memorial sculptures

See it on the way to work

Has playground equipment, paved trails, fenced in, dog park is fenced in

Because it is good for the children

Kids really like the park: bit enough for adults to play

Has a lot to offer to children Nice for little ones kid proof

Stuff to do

Like the way they arranged it and everything and all of the people built it up and it's nice for the kids

They call it the Castle Park and enjoy all the features of that park.

Location open grassy area

Really nice park little bit of everything

Has water in summer great facilities for older and younger kids

The kids equipment and wading pool, open field

Water in summer, playground canopied tables

Kids like it

Watch kids have fun and centrally located.

More of a community park Up linda vista lower park

We're really fortunate in the variety of parks that we have.

Like to golf, need another golf course

Trails

Easy access to fishing and hiking, very good river access for boat launch

It has access to the crick, and my dogs seem to enjoy it and it is very restful. And it's convenient

Convenient like the creek Pleasant

Very peaceful

Access

Unusual, open space, unimproved aspect

Pattee Canyon, Blue Mountain, Rattlesnake

The multiple use and multiple season use of Pattee Canyon.

Easy access to house and its pretty

Folf trails, mountains

Not a lot of people, I can take my dogs there

Lots of picnic tables and not too crowded, good place to bring the dog X

The design of the trail and the surface not rocky or loose

Blue Mountain- what it has to offer you can go up their and play Frisbee golf, motorcycle area, foot trails

It's got an extensive trail system that's easy to follow. Can go out there and spend the day. It's a bigger area and can ride a bike for several hours.

Because I hike around in there a lot and you can get away from buildings but still see the city itself Lot of open area x same with patty canyon

Good walking trails

Easy access to river nice area

Like to walk up there, swim in the swimming hole, walk dog More natural more opportunity to get away from people X Run and bike there
Can take dogs and wide variety of terrain
Like the area and being able to walk the trail
Lots of good trails for mountain biking
Number of trails


Love that it rattlesnake cr. Is a part ofit natural feel to it, not just expansive grass, natural habitat, multi-use

Gorgeous


Wildness and extensiveness

Close to home

Don't know about these facilities and features in Missoula area parks



Not enough of these facilities and features in Missoula area parks



Percentage of respondents with opinion

APPENDIX 1: DETAILED TABLES

SATIS1: How satisfied are you with the Urban Area trail system, such as Kim Williams. On a scale from 1 to 5, where one is not at all satisfied and five is very satisfied, how satisfied are you with the Urban Trail system?

	Percentage of Respondents						
	Not All		Ū	•	Very		
	Satisfied				Satisfied		
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	1.6%	2.1%	15.0%	28.1%	<u>2</u> 9.7%	23.5%	434
Gender							
Male	2.0%	1.5%	12.6%	28.6%	30.7%	24.6%	199
Female	1.3%	2.6%	17.0%	27.7%	28.9%	22.6%	235
Age							
18 to 24 yrs			16.4%	41.8%	23.6%	18.2%	55
25 to 34 yrs	2.1%	3.2%	14.7%	37.9%	23.2%	18.9%	95
35 to 44 yrs	1.5%	2.9%	14.7%	41.2%	19.1%	20.6%	68
45 to 54 yrs	3.7%	2.4%	8.5%	29.3%	46.3%	9.8%	82
55 to 64 yrs	2.3%	4.7%	20.9%	9.3%	41.9%	20.9%	43
65 yrs & over			17.6%	7.7%	27.5%	47.3%	91
Educational							
attainment							
Grades 1-12			14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED	1.5%	.8%	10.5%	24.1%	34.6%	28.6%	133
Some post HS	1.5%	1.5%	20.0%	32.3%	26.2%	18.5%	65
College graduate	.6%	3.7%	12.3%	40.5%	32.5%	10.4%	163
Household							
Income in 2003							
Less than \$15,000			14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	1.5%	.8%	10.5%	24.1%	34.6%	28.6%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	1.5%	1.5%	20.0%	32.3%	26.2%	18.5%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	.6%	3.7%	12.3%	40.5%	32.5%	10.4%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999			14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	52
\$75,000 & over	1.5%	.8%	10.5%	24.1%	34.6%	28.6%	56
Children in household							
No children	1.0%	1.7%	15.4%	26.1%	31.4%	24.4%	299
Children	3.0%	3.0%	14.1%	32.6%	25.9%	21.5%	135
Neighborhood							
Washington			13.0%	37.0%	37.0%	13.0%	54
CS Porter	1.9%	1.9%	13.5%	36.5%	26.9%	19.2%	52
Meadow Hill		4.8%	14.3%	31.0%	35.7%	14.3%	42
Rattlesnake	2.6%	2.6%	15.4%	30.8%	30.8%	17.9%	39
Target Range	7.1%		21.4%	21.4%	35.7%	14.3%	14
Hellgate	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	38.9%	27.8%	25.0%	36
Other		3.3%	20.0%	30.0%	33.3%	13.3%	30
Residence							
City	1.2%	1.6%	16.8%	33.0%	29.3%	18.1%	321
County	2.6%	3.9%	10.4%	18.2%	40.3%	24.7%	77
Rent or Own							
Own	.9%	3.4%	14.0%	27.7%	36.2%	17.9%	235
Rent	1.4%		13.7%	38.4%	26.7%	19.9%	146
Years in Missoula							
Less than 5 yrs	.9%	3.4%	14.0%	27.7%	36.2%	17.9%	96
5 to 9 yrs	1.4%		13.7%	38.4%	26.7%	19.9%	62
10 to19 yrs	.9%	3.4%	14.0%	27.7%	36.2%	17.9%	71
20 yrs & over	1.4%		13.7%	38.4%	26.7%	19.9%	205

SATIS2: How satisfied are you with the level of maintenance in the area's Parks? On a scale from 1 to 5, where one is not at all satisfied and five is very satisfied, how satisfied are you with the level of maintenance in the area's parks?

	Percentage of Respondents						
	Not All Very						
	Satisfied				Satisfied		
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	2.3%	5.1%	20.3%	31.8%	29.0%	11.5%	434
Gender							
Male	2.0%	7.5%	21.1%	32.7%	24.1%	12.6%	199
Female	2.6%	3.0%	19.6%	31.1%	33.2%	10.6%	235
Age							
18 to 24 yrs		3.6%	16.4%	49.1%	27.3%	3.6%	55
25 to 34 yrs	3.2%	3.2%	20.0%	33.7%	36.8%	3.2%	95
35 to 44 yrs	4.4%	8.8%	25.0%	30.9%	23.5%	7.4%	68
45 to 54 yrs	3.7%	7.3%	17.1%	39.0%	30.5%	2.4%	82
55 to 64 yrs	2.3%	9.3%	27.9%	23.3%	25.6%	11.6%	43
65 yrs & over		1.1%	18.7%	17.6%	26.4%	36.3%	91
Educational attainment							
Grades 1-12		14.3%	14.3%		57.1%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED	1.5%	4.5%	15.8%	35.3%	37.6%	5.3%	133
Some post HS		7.7%	23.1%	35.4%	29.2%	4.6%	65
College graduate	2.5%	6.1%	19.6%	39.3%	28.8%	3.7%	163
Household	,,,	0	, .	00.070	20.070	0 , 0	
Income in 2003							
Less than \$15,000			17.1%	36.6%	43.9%	2.4%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		5.9%	23.5%	42.6%	26.5%	1.5%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		7.1%	4.8%	45.2%	40.5%	2.4%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	6.3%	3.1%	15.6%	31.3%	34.4%	9.4%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	1.9%	11.5%	17.3%	38.5%	26.9%	3.8%	52 52
\$75,000 & over	1.570	8.9%	26.8%	30.4%	30.4%	3.6%	56
Children in household	1	0.970	20.070	30.4 /0	30.4 /0	3.070	50
No children	1.7%	3.7%	20.4%	30.8%	28.1%	15.4%	299
Children	3.7%	8.1%	20.4%	34.1%	31.1%	3.0%	135
	3.170	0.170	20.0%	34.170	31.170	3.0%	133
Neighborhood	1 00/	0.20/	12 00/	27.00/	22 20/	E 60/	EΛ
Washington	1.9%	9.3%	13.0%	37.0%	33.3%	5.6%	54
CS Porter	3.8%	1.9%	17.3%	42.3%	34.6%	0.40/	52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	11.9%	28.6%	26.2%	28.6%	2.4%	42
Rattlesnake		2.6%	28.2%	38.5%	28.2%	2.6%	39
Target Range	0.00/	21.4%	21.4%	35.7%	14.3%	7.1%	14
Hellgate	2.8%	40.00/	19.4%	52.8%	19.4%	5.6%	36
Other	3.3%	13.3%	16.7%	26.7%	36.7%	3.3%	30
Residence	o =0/		0.4.007	00.00/	0.4.007	/	
City	2.5%	5.9%	21.2%	33.0%	31.8%	5.6%	321
County		3.9%	19.5%	41.6%	27.3%	7.8%	77
Rent or Own							
Own	2.1%	6.4%	20.0%	34.9%	31.1%	5.5%	235
Rent	.7%	4.8%	17.8%	37.7%	34.2%	4.8%	146
Years in Missoula							
Less than 5 yrs	1.0%	4.2%	16.7%	39.6%	33.3%	5.2%	96
5 to 9 yrs	1.6%	4.8%	21.0%	30.6%	32.3%	9.7%	62
10 to19 yrs	1.4%	7.0%	21.1%	39.4%	22.5%	8.5%	71
20 yrs & over	3.4%	4.9%	21.5%	25.9%	28.3%	16.1%	205

SATIS3: How satisfied are you with the overall amount, quality and distribution of conservation lands, such Mount Jumbo? On a scale from 1 to 5, where one is not at all satisfied and five is very satisfied, how satisfied are you with Missoula's conservation lands?

	Percentage of Respondents						
	Not All		J	•	Very		
	Satisfied	•	•		Satisfied	DI	
All constants	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	2.8%	6.5%	18.4%	25.3%	24.7%	22.4%	434
Gender							
Male	3.5%	7.5%	17.6%	23.6%	26.6%	21.1%	199
Female	2.1%	5.5%	19.1%	26.8%	23.0%	23.4%	235
Age							
18 to 24 yrs	1.8%	7.3%	23.6%	27.3%	20.0%	20.0%	55
25 to 34 yrs	2.1%	9.5%	21.1%	27.4%	15.8%	24.2%	95
35 to 44 yrs	4.4%	7.4%	17.6%	26.5%	32.4%	11.8%	68
45 to 54 yrs	2.4%	8.5%	18.3%	35.4%	26.8%	8.5%	82
55 to 64 yrs	4.7%	7.0%	16.3%	30.2%	27.9%	14.0%	43
65 yrs & over	2.2%		14.3%	9.9%	27.5%	46.2%	91
Educational							
attainment							
Grades 1-12	14.3%	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	7
HS diploma-GED	1.5%	4.5%	15.0%	27.1%	27.8%	24.1%	133
Some post HS	3.1%	9.2%	21.5%	29.2%	23.1%	13.8%	65
College graduate	3.1%	9.2%	18.4%	32.5%	27.0%	9.8%	163
Household							
Income in 2003							
Less than \$15,000		7.3%	17.1%	22.0%	29.3%	24.4%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	1.5%	2.9%	20.6%	35.3%	23.5%	16.2%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	4.8%	9.5%	16.7%	33.3%	26.2%	9.5%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	3.1%	12.5%	15.6%	25.0%	25.0%	18.8%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	3.8%	15.4%	21.2%	26.9%	23.1%	9.6%	52
\$75,000 & over	5.4%	3.6%	10.7%	35.7%	33.9%	10.7%	56
Children in household							
No children	2.7%	6.0%	19.4%	21.4%	25.4%	25.1%	299
Children	3.0%	7.4%	16.3%	34.1%	23.0%	16.3%	135
Neighborhood							
Washington	3.7%		18.5%	25.9%	35.2%	16.7%	54
CS Porter		15.4%	15.4%	32.7%	25.0%	11.5%	52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	9.5%	9.5%	35.7%	28.6%	14.3%	42
Rattlesnake	2.6%	7.7%	17.9%	33.3%	33.3%	5.1%	39
Target Range	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	35.7%	7.1%	14
Hellgate	2.8%	2.8%	22.2%	33.3%	16.7%	22.2%	36
Other		13.3%	16.7%	40.0%	23.3%	6.7%	30
Residence							
City	3.1%	6.2%	20.2%	26.8%	24.9%	18.7%	321
County	2.6%	10.4%	14.3%	31.2%	28.6%	13.0%	77
Rent or Own							
Own	3.0%	7.2%	16.2%	29.8%	29.8%	14.0%	235
Rent	2.7%	7.5%	19.2%	27.4%	20.5%	22.6%	146
Years in Missoula				,		,,,	
Less than 5 yrs	2.1%	6.3%	15.6%	17.7%	29.2%	29.2%	96
5 to 9 yrs	1.6%	9.7%	17.7%	35.5%	14.5%	21.0%	62
10 to 19 yrs	5.6%	7.0%	21.1%	32.4%	25.4%	8.5%	71
20 yrs & over	2.4%	5.4%	19.0%	23.4%	25.4%	24.4%	205
== ,			, -				

SATIS4: Finally, how satisfied are you with the overall quality of the Missoula Urban Area park system. On a scale from 1 to 5, where one is not at all satisfied and five is very satisfied, how satisfied are you with the overall quality of the urban PARK system?

	Percentage of Respondents						
	Not All		•	•	Very		
	Satisfied				Satisfied		
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	2.1%	5.3%	18.2%	37.3%	27.2%	9.9%	434
Gender							
Male .	1.5%	5.5%	22.1%	39.2%	21.6%	10.1%	199
Female	2.6%	5.1%	14.9%	35.7%	31.9%	9.8%	235
Age							
18 to 24 yrs		3.6%	21.8%	45.5%	27.3%	1.8%	55
25 to 34 yrs	4.2%	4.2%	17.9%	44.2%	25.3%	4.2%	95
35 to 44 yrs	1.5%	11.8%	25.0%	39.7%	19.1%	2.9%	68
45 to 54 yrs	2.4%	4.9%	14.6%	51.2%	25.6%	1.2%	82
55 to 64 yrs	2.3%	11.6%	16.3%	25.6%	34.9%	9.3%	43
65 yrs & over	1.1%		15.4%	16.5%	33.0%	34.1%	91
Educational							
attainment							
Grades 1-12				42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED	1.5%	3.8%	14.3%	41.4%	33.8%	5.3%	133
Some post HS	3.1%	4.6%	20.0%	38.5%	30.8%	3.1%	65
College graduate	1.8%	8.6%	16.0%	46.0%	27.0%	.6%	163
Household							
Income in 2003							
Less than \$15,000			9.8%	46.3%	41.5%	2.4%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999			16.2%	58.8%	22.1%	2.9%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		2.4%	16.7%	42.9%	38.1%		42
\$40,000-\$49,999	3.1%	9.4%	9.4%	40.6%	31.3%	6.3%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		9.6%	25.0%	32.7%	32.7%		52
\$75,000 & over	3.6%	17.9%	12.5%	42.9%	23.2%		56
Children in household	t						
No children	1.0%	3.0%	18.1%	36.1%	28.1%	13.7%	299
Children	4.4%	10.4%	18.5%	40.0%	25.2%	1.5%	135
Neighborhood							
Washington		5.6%	11.1%	44.4%	35.2%	3.7%	54
CS Porter	3.8%	3.8%	13.5%	42.3%	36.5%	0 /0	52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	9.5%	14.3%	42.9%	31.0%		42
Rattlesnake		5.1%	17.9%	46.2%	28.2%	2.6%	39
Target Range		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%	,	14
Hellgate	8.3%	5.6%	11.1%	55.6%	16.7%	2.8%	36
Other	3.3%	6.7%	20.0%	46.7%	23.3%	,	30
Residence	0.070	0.770	20.070	10.770	20.070		00
City	1.9%	5.3%	19.3%	39.9%	29.3%	4.4%	321
County	3.9%	6.5%	15.6%	40.3%	28.6%	5.2%	77
Rent or Own	3.570	0.570	13.070	40.070	20.070	J.Z /0	, ,
Own	2.6%	6.8%	16.6%	40.4%	30.2%	3.4%	235
Rent	.7%	3.4%	18.5%	43.8%	29.5%	4.1%	146
Years in Missoula	.7 70	J. 4 /0	10.570	43.070	29.570	4.170	140
Less than 5 yrs	1.0%	5.2%	18.8%	39.6%	30.2%	5.2%	96
•	1.6%			43.5%	29.0%	5.2% 4.8%	
5 to 9 yrs		1.6%	19.4%				62 71
10 to 19 yrs	1.4%	9.9%	19.7%	40.8%	23.9%	4.2%	71 205
20 yrs & over	2.9%	4.9%	17.1%	33.2%	26.3%	15.6%	205

ACC1: Now some questions about access to Missoula area parks. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: "I have easy access to my local neighborhood park."

	Percentage of Respondents					
	_	Do not use				
	<u>Agree</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>parks</u>	<u>N</u>		
All respondents	77.9%	9.2%	12.9%	434		
Gender						
Male	81.9%	7.5%	10.6%	199		
Female	74.5%	10.6%	14.9%	235		
Age						
18 to 24 yrs	90.9%	7.3%	1.8%	55		
25 to 34 yrs	90.5%	7.4%	2.1%	95		
35 to 44 yrs	76.5%	16.2%	7.4%	68		
45 to 54 yrs	89.0%	8.5%	2.4%	82		
55 to 64 yrs	74.4%	9.3%	16.3%	43		
65 yrs & over	49.5%	7.7%	42.9%	91		
Educational						
attainment						
Grades 1-12	90.9%	7.3%	1.8%	7		
HS diploma-GED	90.5%	7.4%	2.1%	133		
Some post HS	76.5%	16.2%	7.4%	65		
College graduate	89.0%	8.5%	2.4%	163		
Household						
Income in 2003						
Less than \$15,000	95.1%	4.9%		41		
\$15,000-\$29,999	94.1%	5.9%		68		
\$30,000-\$39,999	92.9%	7.1%		42		
\$40,000-\$49,999	81.3%	15.6%	3.1%	32		
\$50,000-\$74,999	88.5%	7.7%	3.8%	52		
\$75,000 & over	85.7%	12.5%	1.8%	56		
Children in household						
No children	75.3%	7.7%	17.1%	299		
Children	83.7%	12.6%	3.7%	135		
Neighborhood	00.70/	7 40/	4.00/			
Washington	90.7%	7.4%	1.9%	54		
CS Porter	84.6%	13.5%	1.9%	52		
Meadow Hill	83.3%	11.9%	4.8%	42		
Rattlesnake	100.0%	7.40/		39		
Target Range	92.9%	7.1%	E C0/	14		
Hellgate	80.6%	13.9%	5.6%	36		
Other	90.0%	6.7%	3.3%	30		
Residence	92.00/	9.0%	8.1%	321		
City County	82.9% 80.5%	14.3%	5.2%	321 77		
Rent or Own	00.576	14.570	5.2 /0	11		
Own	83.8%	11.9%	4.3%	235		
Rent	89.7%	6.8%	3.4%	146		
Years in Missoula	09.7 70	0.070	J. T /0	140		
Less than 5 yrs	87.5%	7.3%	5.2%	96		
5 to 9 yrs	83.9%	12.9%	3.2%	62		
10 to 19 yrs	88.7%	8.5%	2.8%	71		
20 yrs & over	67.8%	9.3%	22.9%	205		
20 y13 G 0V61	07.070	9.070	22.3/0	200		

ACC2: Do any of the following reasons prevent you from using or using more often, the area's parks or recreation facilities?

a. A physical disability?

	Percentag	Percentage of Respondents			
	<u>No</u>	Yes	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>	
All respondents	86.4%	4.2%	9.5%	434	
Gender					
Male	87.9%	4.0%	8.1%	199	
Female	85.1%	4.3%	10.6%	235	
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	98.2%		1.8%	55	
25 to 34 yrs	100.0%			95	
35 to 44 yrs	97.1%	1.5%	1.5%	68	
45 to 54 yrs	96.3%	2.4%	1.2%	82	
55 to 64 yrs	79.1%	14.0%	7.0%	43	
65 yrs & over	51.6%	9.9%	38.5%	91	
Educational					
attainment				_	
Grades 1-12	100.0%			7	
HS diploma-GED	89.5%	9.0%	1.5%	133	
Some post HS	100.0%	4.007		65	
College graduate	98.2%	1.8%		163	
Household					
Income in 2003	00.70/	7.00/		4.4	
Less than \$15,000	92.7%	7.3%	1.5%	41	
\$15,000-\$29,999 \$30,000 \$30,000	92.6% 97.6%	5.9% 2.4%	1.5%	68 42	
\$30,000-\$39,999					
\$40,000-\$49,999 \$50,000-\$74,000	93.8% 98.1%	6.3% 1.9%		32 52	
\$50,000-\$74,999 \$75,000 & over	98.1% 98.2%	1.9%		56	
Children in household	90.270	1.0 /0		50	
No children	81.2%	5.0%	13.8%	299	
Children	97.8%	2.2%	13.070	135	
Neighborhood	37.070	2.2 /0		100	
Washington	94.4%	5.6%		54	
CS Porter	98.1%	1.9%		52	
Meadow Hill	97.6%	2.4%		42	
Rattlesnake	84.2%	13.2%	2.6%	39	
Target Range	92.9%	7.1%	2.070	14	
Hellgate	97.2%	2.8%		36	
Other	96.7%	3.3%		30	
Residence					
City	90.9%	3.8%	5.3%	321	
County	93.5%	6.5%		77	
Rent or Own					
Own	95.3%	3.8%	.9%	235	
Rent	92.4%	4.8%	2.8%	146	
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs	97.9%		2.1%	96	
5 to 9 yrs	93.5%	4.8%	1.6%	62	
10 to19 yrs	97.2%	1.4%	1.4%	71	
20 yrs & over	75.1%	6.8%	18.0%	205	

ACC2: Do any of the following reasons prevent you from using or using more often, the area's parks or recreation facilities?

b. Distance from home?

	Percentag			
	<u>No</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	73.7%	15.2%	11.1%	434
Gender				
Male	75.4%	14.6%	10.1%	199
Female	72.2%	15.8%	12.0%	235
Age				
18 to 24 yrs	83.6%	14.5%	1.8%	55
25 to 34 yrs	84.2%	15.8%		95
35 to 44 yrs	79.1%	19.4%	1.5%	68
45 to 54 yrs	82.9%	15.9%	1.2%	82
55 to 64 yrs	72.1%	14.0%	14.0%	43
65 yrs & over	45.1%	12.1%	42.9%	91
Educational				
attainment				
Grades 1-12	85.7%	14.3%		7
HS diploma-GED	79.5%	18.9%	1.5%	133
Some post HS	80.0%	18.5%	1.5%	65
College graduate	85.3%	14.7%		163
Household				
Income in 2003	00.50/	40.50/		4.4
Less than \$15,000	80.5%	19.5%	1.5%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999 \$30,000 \$30,000	86.8%	11.8%	1.5%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999 \$40,000 \$40,000	78.6%	21.4%		42
\$40,000-\$49,999 \$50,000-\$74,999	71.9% 82.4%	28.1% 17.6%		32 52
\$75,000 & over	82.4% 82.1%	17.0%		52 56
Children in household	02.170	17.970		30
No children	72.5%	11.4%	16.1%	299
Children	76.3%	23.7%	10.170	135
Neighborhood	10.070	20.1 70		100
Washington	96.3%	3.7%		54
CS Porter	78.8%	21.2%		52
Meadow Hill	76.2%	21.4%	2.4%	42
Rattlesnake	92.3%	5.1%	2.6%	39
Target Range	78.6%	21.4%		14
Hellgate	75.0%	25.0%		36
Other	76.7%	23.3%		30
Residence				
City	81.3%	12.2%	6.6%	321
County	66.2%	33.8%		77
Rent or Own				
Own	76.9%	20.9%	2.1%	235
Rent	87.7%	9.6%	2.7%	146
Years in Missoula				
Less than 5 yrs	84.4%	12.5%	3.1%	96
5 to 9 yrs	80.3%	18.0%	1.6%	62
10 to19 yrs	85.9%	12.7%	1.4%	71
20 yrs & over	62.4%	16.6%	21.0%	205

ACC2: Do any of the following reasons prevent you from using or using more often, the area's parks or recreation facilities?

c. Safety concerns or rowdy behavior of other park users?

	Percentag			
	<u>No</u>	Yes	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	70.9%	17.1%	12.0%	434
Gender				
Male	74.9%	14.1%	11.1%	199
Female	67.5%	19.7%	12.8%	235
Age				
18 to 24 yrs	81.8%	16.4%	1.8%	55
25 to 34 yrs	76.8%	23.2%		95
35 to 44 yrs	76.1%	20.9%	3.0%	68
45 to 54 yrs	80.5%	17.1%	2.4%	82
55 to 64 yrs	74.4%	11.6%	14.0%	43
65 yrs & over	44.0%	11.0%	45.1%	91
Educational				
attainment				
Grades 1-12	85.7%	14.3%		7
HS diploma-GED	81.8%	15.9%	2.3%	133
Some post HS	78.5%	20.0%	1.5%	65
College graduate	79.1%	20.2%	.6%	163
Household				
Income in 2003				
Less than \$15,000	85.4%	14.6%		41
\$15,000-\$29,999	75.0%	23.5%	1.5%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	90.5%	7.1%	2.4%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	84.4%	15.6%		32
\$50,000-\$74,999	70.6%	27.5%	2.0%	52
\$75,000 & over	80.4%	19.6%		56
Children in household				
No children	85.4%	14.6%		299
Children	75.0%	23.5%	1.5%	135
Neighborhood				
Washington	88.9%	11.1%		54
CS Porter	76.9%	23.1%		52
Meadow Hill	78.6%	19.0%	2.4%	42
Rattlesnake	74.4%	23.1%	2.6%	39
Target Range	78.6%	21.4%		14
Hellgate	83.3%	13.9%	2.8%	36
Other	70.0%	30.0%		30
Residence				
City	75.0%	17.8%	7.2%	321
County	79.2%	18.2%	2.6%	77
Rent or Ówn				
Own	79.9%	16.7%	3.4%	235
Rent	76.0%	21.2%	2.7%	146
Years in Missoula				
Less than 5 yrs	77.1%	18.8%	4.2%	96
5 to 9 yrs	82.0%	14.8%	3.3%	62
10 to19 yrs	77.5%	19.7%	2.8%	71
20 yrs & over	62.4%	16.1%	21.5%	205
•				

ACC2: Do any of the following reasons prevent you from using or using more often, the area's parks or recreation facilities?

d. User or program fees?

	Percentag	Percentage of Respondents			
	<u>No</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>	
All respondents	82.9%	4.2%	13.%0	434	
Gender					
Male	82.2%	5.1%	12.7%	199	
Female	83.4%	3.4%	13.2%	235	
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	94.5%	1.8%	3.6%	55	
25 to 34 yrs	92.6%	5.3%	2.1%	95	
35 to 44 yrs	89.6%	7.5%	3.0%	68	
45 to 54 yrs	88.9%	8.6%	2.5%	82	
55 to 64 yrs	83.7%		16.3%	43	
65 yrs & over	54.9%		45.1%	91	
Educational					
attainment	0==0/		4 4 904	_	
Grades 1-12	85.7%	0.40/	14.3%	7	
HS diploma-GED	90.2%	6.1%	3.8%	133	
Some post HS	90.8%	4.6%	4.6%	65	
College graduate	95.1%	3.7%	1.2%	163	
Household					
Income in 2003	05.40/		4.00/	44	
Less than \$15,000 \$15,000-\$29,999	95.1% 94.1%	2.00/	4.9% 2.9%	41	
\$30,000-\$29,999	94.1% 92.9%	2.9% 4.8%	2.9% 2.4%	68 42	
\$40,000-\$49,999	93.5%	4.6 % 6.5%	2.4 /0	32	
\$50,000-\$49,999 \$50,000-\$74,999	93.5% 84.6%	13.5%	1.9%	52 52	
\$75,000 & over	98.2%	13.5%	1.970	56	
Children in household	90.270	1.0 /0		30	
No children	79.6%	2.7%	17.7%	299	
Children	90.2%	7.5%	2.3%	135	
Neighborhood	00.270	1.070	2.070	100	
Washington	96.2%	1.9%	1.9%	54	
CS Porter	94.2%	5.8%	1.070	52	
Meadow Hill	97.6%	0.070	2.4%	42	
Rattlesnake	89.7%	5.1%	5.1%	39	
Target Range	85.7%	7.1%	7.1%	14	
Hellgate	83.3%	13.9%	2.8%	36	
Other	96.6%		3.4%	30	
Residence					
City	90.0%	2.2%	7.8%	321	
County	81.6%	13.2%	5.3%	77	
Rent or Own					
Own	90.1%	5.6%	4.3%	235	
Rent	93.2%	2.1%	4.8%	146	
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs	94.8%	1.0%	4.2%	96	
5 to 9 yrs	88.7%	4.8%	6.5%	62	
10 to19 yrs	93.0%	4.2%	2.8%	71	
20 yrs & over	71.9%	5.4%	22.7%	205	

ACC2: Do any of the following reasons prevent you from using or using more often, the area's parks or recreation facilities?

e. Difficult access such as busy streets?

	Percentag			
	<u>No</u>	Yes	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	72.6%	16.8%	10.6%	434
Gender				
Male	75.9%	14.6%	9.5%	199
Female	69.8%	18.7%	11.5%	235
Age				
18 to 24 yrs	80.0%	18.2%	1.8%	55
25 to 34 yrs	81.1%	18.9%		95
35 to 44 yrs	82.4%	16.2%	1.5%	68
45 to 54 yrs	79.3%	19.5%	1.2%	82
55 to 64 yrs	69.8%	18.6%	11.6%	43
65 yrs & over	47.3%	11.0%	41.8%	91
Educational				
attainment	05.70/	4.4.007		_
Grades 1-12	85.7%	14.3%	4.50/	7
HS diploma-GED	82.0%	16.5%	1.5%	133
Some post HS	84.6%	13.8%	1.5%	65
College graduate Household	76.7%	23.3%		163
Income in 2003				
Less than \$15,000	80.5%	19.5%		41
\$15,000-\$29,999	75.0%	23.5%	1.5%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	81.0%	19.0%	1.570	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	81.3%	18.8%		32
\$50,000-\$74,999	84.6%	15.4%		52
\$75,000 & over	82.1%	17.9%		56
Children in household				
No children	69.6%	15.1%	15.4%	299
Children	79.3%	20.7%		135
Neighborhood				
Washington	83.3%	16.7%		54
CS Porter	80.8%	19.2%		52
Meadow Hill	78.6%	19.0%	2.4%	42
Rattlesnake	79.5%	17.9%	2.6%	39
Target Range	92.9%	7.1%		14
Hellgate	80.6%	19.4%		36
Other	73.3%	26.7%		30
Residence				
City	77.6%	16.5%	5.9%	321
County	76.6%	23.4%		77
Rent or Own	70.00/	40.00/	0.40/	005
Own	79.6%	18.3%	2.1%	235
Rent	78.1%	19.2%	2.7%	146
Years in Missoula	QA 20/	16 70/	2 10/	0e
Less than 5 yrs 5 to 9 yrs	80.2% 80.6%	16.7% 17.7%	3.1% 1.6%	96 62
10 to 19 yrs	81.7%	17.7%	1.070	71
20 yrs & over	63.4%	16.3%	20.5%	205
ZU yis a uvei	03.4 /0	10.170	20.070	203

 ${\sf ACC2:}\ \textbf{Do}\ \textbf{any}\ \textbf{of the following reasons}\ \textbf{prevent you from using or using more often, the area's parks or recreation facilities?}$

f. Overcrowding?

	Percentag			
	<u>No</u>	Yes	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	76.3%	12.2%	11.5%	434
Gender				
Male	78.4%	11.6%	10.1%	199
Female	74.5%	12.8%	12.8%	235
Age				
18 to 24 yrs	87.3%	9.1%	3.6%	55
25 to 34 yrs	87.4%	12.6%		95
35 to 44 yrs	83.8%	14.7%	1.5%	68
45 to 54 yrs	85.4%	13.4%	1.2%	82
55 to 64 yrs	69.8%	16.3%	14.0%	43
65 yrs & over	47.3%	8.8%	44.0%	91
Educational				
attainment	00/	4.4.007		_
Grades 1-12	85.7%	14.3%	4 =0/	7
HS diploma-GED	85.0%	13.5%	1.5%	133
Some post HS	86.2%	12.3%	1.5%	65
College graduate	84.0%	15.3%	.6%	163
Household Income in 2003				
	00.20/	7.3%	2.40/	11
Less than \$15,000 \$15,000-\$29,999	90.2% 75.0%	7.5% 23.5%	2.4% 1.5%	41 68
\$30,000-\$29,999	85.7%	14.3%	1.570	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	93.8%	6.3%		32
\$50,000-\$74,999	76.9%	23.1%		52 52
\$75,000 & over	89.3%	10.7%		56
Children in household	00.070	10.7 70		00
No children	72.2%	11.0%	16.7%	299
Children	85.2%	14.8%	10.1 70	135
Neighborhood				
Washington	83.3%	16.7%		54
CS Porter	88.5%	11.5%		52
Meadow Hill	90.5%	7.1%	2.4%	42
Rattlesnake	79.5%	15.4%	5.1%	39
Target Range	100.0%			14
Hellgate	80.6%	19.4%		36
Other	73.3%	26.7%		30
Residence				
City	81.0%	11.8%	7.2%	321
County	80.5%	19.5%		77
Rent or Own				
Own	82.6%	15.3%	2.1%	235
Rent	84.9%	11.6%	3.4%	146
Years in Missoula				_
Less than 5 yrs	83.3%	13.5%	3.1%	96
5 to 9 yrs	85.5%	12.9%	1.6%	62
10 to19 yrs	80.3%	18.3%	1.4%	71
20 yrs & over	68.8%	9.3%	22.0%	205

ACC2: Do any of the following reasons prevent you from using or using more often, the area's parks or recreation facilities?

g. Poor maintenance?

	Percentag			
	<u>No</u>	Yes	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	76.0%	12.5%	11.5%	434
Gender				
Male	78.3%	11.6%	10.1%	199
Female	74.0%	13.2%	12.8%	235
Age				
18 to 24 yrs	83.6%	14.5%	1.8%	55
25 to 34 yrs	92.6%	7.4%		95
35 to 44 yrs	79.4%	19.1%	1.5%	68
45 to 54 yrs	78.0%	18.3%	3.7%	82
55 to 64 yrs	74.4%	11.6%	14.0%	43
65 yrs & over	50.0%	6.7%	43.3%	91
Educational				
attainment				_
Grades 1-12	71.4%	28.6%		7
HS diploma-GED	88.7%	9.0%	2.3%	133
Some post HS	84.6%	12.3%	3.1%	65
College graduate	82.7%	16.7%	.6%	163
Household				
Income in 2003	00.70/	7.00/		4.4
Less than \$15,000	92.7%	7.3%	4.50/	41
\$15,000-\$29,999 \$30,000 \$30,000	80.9%	17.6%	1.5%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	95.2%	4.8%		42
\$40,000-\$49,999 \$50,000-\$74,000	87.5% 84.3%	12.5% 13.7%	2.0%	32 52
\$50,000-\$74,999 \$75,000 & over	76.8%	23.2%	2.0%	52 56
Children in household	70.070	23.2 /0		50
No children	73.8%	9.7%	16.4%	299
Children	80.7%	18.5%	.7%	135
Neighborhood	00.7 70	10.570	.1 70	100
Washington	81.5%	18.5%		54
CS Porter	92.3%	5.8%	1.9%	52
Meadow Hill	64.3%	33.3%	2.4%	42
Rattlesnake	89.7%	5.1%	5.1%	39
Target Range	78.6%	21.4%	511,75	14
Hellgate	88.9%	8.3%	2.8%	36
Other	80.0%	20.0%	_,,,,	30
Residence				
City	79.8%	13.7%	6.5%	321
County	88.2%	9.2%	2.6%	77
Rent or Own				
Own	82.9%	14.1%	3.0%	235
Rent	85.6%	11.6%	2.7%	146
Years in Missoula				
Less than 5 yrs	88.5%	9.4%	2.1%	96
5 to 9 yrs	90.2%	8.2%	1.6%	62
10 to19 yrs	81.7%	14.1%	4.2%	71
20 yrs & over	63.9%	14.6%	21.5%	205

ACC2: Do any of the following reasons prevent you from using or using more often, the area's parks or recreation facilities?

h. Dogs?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	No	Yes	DK	<u>N</u>	
	110	163	<u>DIX</u>	<u></u>	
All respondents	70.7%	17.7%	11.5%	434	
Gender					
Male	72.9%	16.6%	10.6%	199	
Female	68.9%	18.7%	12.3%	235	
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	87.3%	10.9%	1.8%	55	
25 to 34 yrs	86.3%	13.7%		95	
35 to 44 yrs	75.0%	23.5%	1.5%	68	
45 to 54 yrs	76.8%	22.0%	1.2%	82	
55 to 64 yrs	60.5%	25.6%	14.0%	43	
65 yrs & over	40.7%	14.3%	45.1%	91	
Educational					
attainment	100.00/			_	
Grades 1-12	100.0%	40.00/	4 =0/	7	
HS diploma-GED	80.5%	18.0%	1.5%	133	
Some post HS	80.0%	18.5%	1.5%	65	
College graduate	78.5%	20.9%	.6%	163	
Household Income in 2003					
	90.2%	0.00/		11	
Less than \$15,000 \$15,000-\$29,999	90.2% 80.9%	9.8% 17.6%	1.5%	41 68	
\$30,000-\$29,999	90.5%	9.5%	1.570	42	
\$40,000-\$49,999	75.0%	25.0%		32	
\$50,000-\$43,999	73.1%	25.0%	1.9%	52 52	
\$75,000 & over	73.1%	26.8%	1.570	56	
Children in household	70.270	20.070		00	
No children	67.6%	15.7%	16.7%	299	
Children	77.8%	22.2%	10.7 70	135	
Neighborhood	, .	,			
Washington	72.2%	27.8%		54	
CS Porter	84.6%	15.4%		52	
Meadow Hill	73.8%	23.8%	2.4%	42	
Rattlesnake	74.4%	23.1%	2.6%	39	
Target Range	85.7%	14.3%		14	
Hellgate	94.4%	5.6%		36	
Other	73.3%	26.7%		30	
Residence					
City	75.1%	18.1%	6.9%	321	
County	77.9%	20.8%	1.3%	77	
Rent or Own					
Own	73.6%	23.8%	2.6%	235	
Rent	85.6%	11.6%	2.7%	146	
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs	81.3%	15.6%	3.1%	96	
5 to 9 yrs	77.4%	19.4%	3.2%	62	
10 to19 yrs	84.5%	14.1%	1.4%	71	
20 yrs & ove r	59.0%	19.5%	21.5%	205	

ACC2: Do any of the following reasons prevent you from using or using more often, the area's parks or recreation facilities?

i. Poorly designed facility?

	Percentag	Percentage of Respondents			
	<u>No</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>	
All respondents	78.8%	9.5%	11.8%	434	
Gender					
Male	78.4%	11.1%	10.6%	199	
Female	79.1%	8.1%	12.8%	235	
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	90.9%	7.3%	1.8%	55	
25 to 34 yrs	84.2%	15.8%		95	
35 to 44 yrs	85.3%	14.7%		68	
45 to 54 yrs	90.2%	8.5%	1.2%	82	
55 to 64 yrs	74.4%	7.0%	18.6%	43	
65 yrs & over	52.2%	2.2%	45.6%	91	
Educational					
attainment	0==0/	4.4.007		_	
Grades 1-12	85.7%	14.3%	4 50/	7	
HS diploma-GED	88.7%	9.8%	1.5%	133	
Some post HS	86.2%	10.8%	3.1%	65	
College graduate	88.3%	10.5%	1.2%	163	
Household Income in 2003					
	92.7%	7.3%		11	
Less than \$15,000 \$15,000-\$29,999	92.7% 88.2%	7.3% 8.8%	2.9%	41 68	
\$30,000-\$29,999	88.1%	11.9%	2.970	42	
\$40,000-\$49,999	87.5%	12.5%		32	
\$50,000-\$74,999	80.8%	17.3%	1.9%	52 52	
\$75,000 & over	87.5%	10.7%	1.8%	56	
Children in household	07.570	10.770	1.070	30	
No children	77.9%	5.4%	16.8%	299	
Children	80.7%	18.5%	.7%	135	
Neighborhood	30.7,0	10.070	/0	.00	
Washington	96.2%	3.8%		54	
CS Porter	82.7%	13.5%	3.8%	52	
Meadow Hill	78.6%	16.7%	4.8%	42	
Rattlesnake	100.0%			39	
Target Range	85.7%	14.3%		14	
Hellgate	83.3%	16.7%		36	
Other	86.7%	13.3%		30	
Residence					
City	84.1%	9.1%	6.9%	321	
County	84.4%	13.0%	2.6%	77	
Rent or Own					
Own	86.4%	10.2%	3.4%	235	
Rent	88.3%	9.7%	2.1%	146	
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs	85.4%	12.5%	2.1%	96	
5 to 9 yrs	88.7%	8.1%	3.2%	62	
10 to19 yrs	87.3%	11.3%	1.4%	71	
20 yrs & over	69.6%	7.8%	22.5%	205	

ACC2: Do any of the following reasons prevent you from using or using more often, the area's parks or recreation facilities?

j. Lack of restrooms?

	Percentaç <u>No</u>	ge of Respor <u>Yes</u>	ndents <u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	62.0%	25.3%	12.7%	434
Gender				
Male	64.3%	24.6%	11.1%	199
Female	60.0%	26.0%	14.0%	235
Age				
18 to 24 yrs	72.7%	23.6%	3.6%	55
25 to 34 yrs	71.6%	26.3%	2.1%	95
35 to 44 yrs	73.5%	26.5%		68
45 to 54 yrs	58.5%	39.0%	2.4%	82
55 to 64 yrs	58.1%	27.9%	14.0%	43
65 yrs & over	41.8%	11.0%	47.3%	91
Educational				
attainment				
Grades 1-12	85.7%	14.3%		7
HS diploma-GED	69.9%	27.8%	2.3%	133
Some post HS	72.3%	24.6%	3.1%	65
College graduate	68.1%	29.4%	2.5%	163
Household				
Income in 2003	00.00/	00.00/	4.00/	4.4
Less than \$15,000	68.3%	26.8%	4.9%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	66.2%	33.8%		68
\$30,000-\$39,999	73.8%	26.2%		42
\$40,000-\$49,999	68.8%	31.3%	4.00/	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	65.4%	32.7%	1.9%	52
\$75,000 & over Children in household	67.9%	32.1%		56
No children	62.2%	20.1%	17.7%	299
Children	61.5%	37.0%	17.7%	135
Neighborhood	01.5%	37.0%	1.3%	133
Washington	77.8%	22.2%		54
CS Porter	73.1%	25.0%	1.9%	52
Meadow Hill	54.8%	42.9%	2.4%	42
Rattlesnake	71.8%	25.6%	2.6%	39
Target Range	50.0%	50.0%	2.070	14
Hellgate	75.0%	22.2%	2.8%	36
Other	63.3%	36.7%	,,,	30
Residence				
City	64.8%	26.8%	8.4%	321
County	72.7%	26.0%	1.3%	77
Rent or Own				
Own	67.2%	29.4%	3.4%	235
Rent	70.5%	24.7%	4.8%	146
Years in Missoula				
Less than 5 yrs	71.9%	22.9%	5.2%	96
5 to 9 yrs	77.4%	19.4%	3.2%	62
10 to19 yrs	66.2%	29.6%	4.2%	71
20 yrs & over	51.2%	26.8%	22.0%	205

ACC2: Do any of the following reasons prevent you from using or using more often, the area's parks or recreation facilities?

k. Doesn't contain desired features or facilities?

	Percentag			
	<u>No</u>	Yes	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	70.0%	17.1%	12.9%	434
Gender				
Male	71.9%	16.6%	11.6%	199
Female	68.5%	17.4%	14.0%	235
Age				
18 to 24 yrs	78.2%	20.0%	1.8%	55
25 to 34 yrs	75.8%	23.2%	1.1%	95
35 to 44 yrs	73.5%	25.0%	1.5%	68
45 to 54 yrs	80.5%	15.9%	3.7%	82
55 to 64 yrs	67.4%	11.6%	20.9%	43
65 yrs & over	48.4%	6.6%	45.1%	91
Educational				
attainment		00.00/		_
Grades 1-12	71.4%	28.6%	0.00/	7
HS diploma-GED	79.7%	16.5%	3.8%	133
Some post HS	86.2%	10.8%	3.1%	65
College graduate	73.6%	23.9%	2.5%	163
Household Income in 2003				
	OE 40/	14 60/		41
Less than \$15,000 \$15,000-\$29,999	85.4% 85.3%	14.6% 11.8%	2.9%	41 68
\$30,000-\$29,999	83.3%	16.7%	2.970	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	68.8%	31.3%		32
\$50,000-\$74,999	69.2%	28.8%	1.9%	52 52
\$75,000 & over	76.8%	23.2%	1.570	56
Children in household	70.070	20.270		00
No children	69.2%	12.0%	18.7%	299
Children	71.9%	28.1%	10.1 70	135
Neighborhood				
Washington	88.9%	9.3%	1.9%	54
CS Porter	80.8%	15.4%	3.8%	52
Meadow Hill	64.3%	31.0%	4.8%	42
Rattlesnake	82.1%	17.9%		39
Target Range	57.1%	35.7%	7.1%	14
Hellgate	77.8%	19.4%	2.8%	36
Other	86.7%	13.3%		30
Residence				
City	75.4%	17.1%	7.5%	321
County	72.7%	22.1%	5.2%	77
Rent or Own				
Own	77.4%	18.3%	4.3%	235
Rent	77.4%	18.5%	4.1%	146
Years in Missoula				_
Less than 5 yrs	81.3%	15.6%	3.1%	96
5 to 9 yrs	69.4%	22.6%	8.1%	62
10 to19 yrs	76.1%	22.5%	1.4%	71
20 yrs & over	62.9%	14.1%	22.9%	205

PARK: There are many different types of parks and public recreational facilities. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, about the right number of the following types of parks.

1. Natural conservation lands?

Percentage of Respondents					
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	2.8%	39.2%	35.5%	22.6%	<u></u> 434
•	,		22.27.2		
Gender Male	4.5%	39.2%	35.2%	21.1%	199
Female	1.3%	39.2 %	35.7%	23.8%	235
Age	1.570	33.170	33.7 /0	23.070	200
18 to 24 yrs	1.8%	32.7%	49.1%	16.4%	55
25 to 34 yrs		40.0%	42.1%	17.9%	95
35 to 44 yrs	4.4%	41.2%	44.1%	10.3%	68
45 to 54 yrs	4.9%	52.4%	32.9%	9.8%	82
55 to 64 yrs	2.3%	44.2%	34.9%	18.6%	43
65 yrs & over	3.3%	26.4%	16.5%	53.8%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		14.3%	57.1%	28.6%	7
HS diploma-GED	2.3%	50.4%	28.6%	18.8%	133
Some post HS	3.1%	40.0%	43.1%	13.8%	65
College graduate	4.3%	41.7%	46.6%	7.4%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		31.7%	46.3%	22.0%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	1.5%	50.0%	38.2%	10.3%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	2.4%	42.9%	45.2%	9.5%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	6.3%	31.3%	40.6%	21.9%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	3.8%	40.4%	48.1%	7.7%	52
\$75,000 & over	3.6%	57.1%	37.5%	1.8%	56
Children in household	0.70/	00.00/	04.40/	00.40/	200
No children	2.7%	36.8%	34.4%	26.1%	299 135
Children	3.0%	44.4%	37.8%	14.8%	135
Neighborhood Washington	3.7%	35.2%	48.1%	13.0%	54
CS Porter	5.8%	51.9%	34.6%	7.7%	5 4 52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	59.5%	23.8%	14.3%	42
Rattlesnake	2.6%	41.0%	48.7%	7.7%	39
Target Range	7.1%	71.4%	14.3%	7.1%	14
Hellgate	2.8%	44.4%	36.1%	16.7%	36
Other	3.3%	46.7%	40.0%	10.0%	30
Residence	0.070	40.770	40.070	10.070	00
City	2.8%	39.6%	40.2%	17.4%	321
County	3.9%	50.6%	31.2%	14.3%	77
Rent or Own	0.070	33.373	0/0	• / •	
Own	4.7%	48.1%	35.3%	11.9%	235
Rent	.7%	35.6%	44.5%	19.2%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs	1.0%	37.5%	38.5%	22.9%	96
5 to 9 yrs	3.2%	35.5%	48.4%	12.9%	62
10 to19 yrs	5.6%	38.0%	45.1%	11.3%	71
20 yrs & over	2.4%	41.5%	26.8%	29.3%	205

PARK: There are many different types of parks and public recreational facilities. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, about the right number of the following types of parks.

2. Developed parks?

	Percentage of Respondents About				
	Too many	right	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	.7%	65.0%	20.0%	14.3%	434
Gender					
Male	1.0%	62.8%	20.1%	16.1%	199
Female	.4%	66.8%	20.0%	12.8%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs		76.4%	18.2%	5.5%	55
25 to 34 yrs		75.8%	20.0%	4.2%	95
35 to 44 yrs		61.8%	33.8%	4.4%	68
45 to 54 yrs	1.2%	72.0%	23.2%	3.7%	82
55 to 64 yrs	4.7%	65.1%	20.9%	9.3%	43
65 yrs & over		42.9%	7.7%	49.5%	91
Educational attainment					
Grades 1-12		100.0%			7
HS diploma-GED	1.5%	78.2%	15.8%	4.5%	133
Some post HS	1.070	75.4%	23.1%	1.5%	65
College graduate	.6%	68.1%	28.8%	2.5%	163
Household	.070	00.170	20.070	2.070	.00
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		85.4%	9.8%	4.9%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		76.5%	19.1%	4.4%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	2.4%	71.4%	26.2%		42
\$40,000-\$49,999		75.0%	21.9%	3.1%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	1.9%	75.0%	21.2%	1.9%	52
\$75,000 & over	1.8%	66.1%	28.6%	3.6%	56
Children in household					
No children	1.0%	62.9%	16.7%	19.4%	299
Children		69.6%	27.4%	3.0%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington		75.9%	22.2%	1.9%	54
CS Porter		78.8%	21.2%		52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	64.3%	33.3%		42
Rattlesnake		74.4%	25.6%		39
Target Range		78.6%	21.4%		14
Hellgate		63.9%	36.1%		36
Other		80.0%	20.0%		30
Residence					
City	.9%	69.2%	20.9%	9.0%	321
County		74.0%	23.4%	2.6%	77
Rent or Own	201	00.00/	0.4.007	- 40/	
Own	.9%	69.8%	24.3%	5.1%	235
Rent	.7%	76.0%	18.5%	4.8%	146
Years in Missoula		70.00/	40.00/	40.40/	00
Less than 5 yrs	4 00/	70.8%	18.8%	10.4%	96
5 to 9 yrs	1.6% 1.4%	72.6%	21.0% 36.6%	4.8% 7.0%	62 71
10 to 19 yrs	1.4% .5%	54.9%	36.6% 14.6%		
20 yrs & over	.570	63.4%	14.6%	21.5%	205

Appendix 1

PARK: There are many different types of parks and public recreational facilities. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, about the right number of the following types of parks.

3. Indoor cultural/activity centers?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	.5%	19.6%	52.8%	27.2%	434
Gender					
Male	.5%	21.6%	48.7%	29.1%	199
Female	.4%	17.9%	56.2%	25.5%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs		23.6%	56.4%	20.0%	55
25 to 34 yrs		9.5%	73.7%	16.8%	95
35 to 44 yrs		26.5%	54.4%	19.1%	68
45 to 54 yrs	1.2%	22.0%	62.2%	14.6%	82
55 to 64 yrs		27.9%	48.8%	23.3%	43
65 yrs & over	1.1%	16.5%	20.9%	61.5%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED		20.3%	57.9%	21.8%	133
Some post HS		23.1%	66.2%	10.8%	65
College graduate	1.2%	23.9%	58.9%	16.0%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	2.4%	24.4%	46.3%	26.8%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		16.2%	67.6%	16.2%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		26.2%	71.4%	2.4%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		18.8%	62.5%	18.8%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		13.5%	69.2%	17.3%	52
\$75,000 & over		26.8%	60.7%	12.5%	56
Children in household	.3%	21.7%	44.40/	22.00/	200
No children Children	.3% .7%	14.8%	44.1% 71.9%	33.8% 12.6%	299 135
Neighborhood	.1 /0	14.0 /0	7 1.9 /0	12.0 /0	133
Washington	1.9%	22.2%	61.1%	14.8%	54
CS Porter	1.970	11.5%	73.1%	15.4%	52
Meadow Hill		21.4%	61.9%	16.7%	42
Rattlesnake		33.3%	51.3%	15.4%	39
Target Range		21.4%	57.1%	21.4%	14
Hellgate		19.4%	72.2%	8.3%	36
Other		26.7%	66.7%	6.7%	30
Residence					
City	.6%	20.9%	56.4%	22.1%	321
County		20.8%	59.7%	19.5%	77
Rent or Ówn					
Own	.4%	23.0%	59.1%	17.4%	235
Rent	.7%	20.5%	56.8%	21.9%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs	1.0%	17.7%	47.9%	33.3%	96
5 to 9 yrs		21.0%	66.1%	12.9%	62
10 to19 yrs		18.3%	66.2%	15.5%	71
20 yrs & over	.5%	20.5%	46.3%	32.7%	205

Appendix 1

PARK: There are many different types of parks and public recreational facilities. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, about the right number of the following types of parks.

4. Public golf courses?

	Pe				
	Too many	About right	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	16.4%	51.6%	9.7%	22.4%	434
Gender					
Male	17.1%	48.2%	15.1%	19.6%	199
Female	15.7%	54.5%	5.1%	24.7%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	14.5%	65.5%	9.1%	10.9%	55
25 to 34 yrs	21.1%	58.9%	8.4%	11.6%	95
35 to 44 yrs	25.0%	45.6%	14.7%	14.7%	68
45 to 54 yrs	19.5%	59.8%	12.2%	8.5%	82
55 to 64 yrs	9.3%	60.5%	7.0%	23.3%	43
65 yrs & over	6.6%	28.6%	6.6%	58.2%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		85.7%		14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED	15.0%	58.6%	12.0%	14.3%	133
Some post HS	20.0%	63.1%	6.2%	10.8%	65
College graduate	20.9%	55.2%	13.5%	10.4%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	14.6%	46.3%	9.8%	29.3%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	13.2%	69.1%	11.8%	5.9%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	11.9%	69.0%	7.1%	11.9%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	15.6%	62.5%	6.3%	15.6%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	21.2%	44.2%	21.2%	13.5%	52
\$75,000 & over	28.6%	55.4%	14.3%	1.8%	56
Children in household	44.70/	47.50/	0.70/	00.40/	200
No children	14.7%	47.5% 60.7%	9.7% 9.6%	28.1% 9.6%	299 135
Children	20.0%	60.7%	9.0%	9.0%	133
Neighborhood Washington	18.5%	63.0%	9.3%	9.3%	54
CS Porter	15.4%	61.5%	9.6%	13.5%	5 4 52
Meadow Hill	4.8%	71.4%	16.7%	7.1%	42
Rattlesnake	28.2%	53.8%	7.7%	10.3%	39
Target Range	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%	10.570	14
Hellgate	16.7%	66.7%	11.1%	5.6%	36
Other	20.0%	56.7%	10.0%	13.3%	30
Residence	20.070	00.1 70	10.070	10.070	00
City	15.0%	56.1%	10.0%	19.0%	321
County	28.6%	51.9%	13.0%	6.5%	77
Rent or Own	_0.070	0 70	, .	0.070	
Own	18.7%	55.3%	11.9%	14.0%	235
Rent	17.1%	59.6%	9.6%	13.7%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs	10.4%	58.3%	12.5%	18.8%	96
5 to 9 yrs	25.8%	45.2%	14.5%	14.5%	62
10 to19 yrs	16.9%	62.0%	7.0%	14.1%	71
20 yrs & over	16.1%	46.8%	7.8%	29.3%	205
•					

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

1. Playgrounds?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	.7%	51.2%	26.5%	21.7%	434
Gender					
Male	1.5%	50.8%	23.6%	24.1%	199
Female		51.5%	28.9%	19.6%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs		58.2%	30.9%	10.9%	55
25 to 34 yrs		65.3%	28.4%	6.3%	95
35 to 44 yrs	1.5%	52.9%	33.8%	11.8%	68
45 to 54 yrs		56.1%	31.7%	12.2%	82
55 to 64 yrs	4.7%	46.5%	18.6%	30.2%	43
65 yrs & over		28.6%	15.4%	56.0%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED		60.9%	27.8%	11.3%	133
Some post HS		50.8%	33.8%	15.4%	65
College graduate	1.8%	57.7%	31.3%	9.2%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		63.4%	26.8%	9.8%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		63.2%	27.9%	8.8%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	2.4%	66.7%	26.2%	4.8%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		50.0%	28.1%	21.9%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		46.2%	38.5%	15.4%	52
\$75,000 & over	1.8%	67.9%	26.8%	3.6%	56
Children in household					
No children	1.0%	47.2%	22.1%	29.8%	299
Children		60.0%	36.3%	3.7%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington		63.0%	29.6%	7.4%	54
CS Porter		50.0%	42.3%	7.7%	52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	59.5%	26.2%	11.9%	42
Rattlesnake		64.1%	30.8%	5.1%	39
Target Range		50.0%	35.7%	14.3%	14
Hellgate	0.00/	50.0%	41.7%	8.3%	36
Other	3.3%	56.7%	30.0%	10.0%	30
Residence	00/	5 4.00/	00.00/	40.00/	004
City	.6%	54.2%	28.3%	16.8%	321
County	1.3%	58.4%	28.6%	11.7%	77
Rent or Own	00/	5 4.00/	00.00/	4.4 = 0.7	005
Own	.9%	54.9%	29.8%	14.5%	235
Rent	.7%	61.0%	28.1%	10.3%	146
Years in Missoula		57.00 /	00.00/	40.70/	00
Less than 5 yrs	2.00/	57.3%	26.0%	16.7%	96 63
5 to 9 yrs	3.2%	54.8%	27.4%	14.5%	62 71
10 to 19 yrs	1.4%	56.3%	33.8%	8.5%	71 205
20 yrs & over		45.4%	23.9%	30.7%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

2. Natural surface trails (horseback,, jogging, mountain bike)?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	DK	<u>N</u>
All respondents	.9%	47.9%	26.5%	24.7%	434
Gender					
Male	1.5%	49.2%	27.1%	22.1%	199
Female	.4%	46.8%	26.0%	26.8%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	1.8%	50.9%	30.9%	16.4%	55
25 to 34 yrs		61.1%	31.6%	7.4%	95
35 to 44 yrs	1.5%	47.1%	36.8%	14.7%	68
45 to 54 yrs		50.0%	37.8%	12.2%	82
55 to 64 yrs	2.3%	46.5%	16.3%	34.9%	43
65 yrs & over	1.1%	31.9%	5.5%	61.5%	91
Educational					
attainment		74 40/	44.00/	44.00/	7
Grades 1-12		71.4% 55.6%	14.3% 26.3%	14.3% 18.0%	7
HS diploma-GED Some post HS	1.5%	53.8%	26.3% 27.7%	16.0%	133 65
College graduate	1.8%	53.4%	36.2%	8.6%	163
Household	1.070	33.470	30.2%	0.076	103
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	2.4%	56.1%	24.4%	17.1%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	2.170	60.3%	30.9%	8.8%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		66.7%	26.2%	7.1%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		50.0%	34.4%	15.6%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		36.5%	42.3%	21.2%	52
\$75,000 & over	1.8%	58.9%	32.1%	7.1%	56
Children in household					
No children	1.0%	45.8%	23.4%	29.8%	299
Children	.7%	52.6%	33.3%	13.3%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington	1.9%	48.1%	42.6%	7.4%	54
CS Porter		51.9%	25.0%	23.1%	52
Meadow Hill		66.7%	23.8%	9.5%	42
Rattlesnake	2.6%	46.2%	38.5%	12.8%	39
Target Range		64.3%	14.3%	21.4%	14
Hellgate		52.8%	27.8%	19.4%	36
Other		53.3%	43.3%	3.3%	30
Residence					
City	.9%	52.0%	28.3%	18.7%	321
County	1.3%	49.4%	28.6%	20.8%	77
Rent or Own					
Own	.9%	53.6%	28.1%	17.4%	235
Rent	1.4%	52.7%	32.9%	13.0%	146
Years in Missoula	4.00/	E0 00/	00.00/	47 70/	00
Less than 5 yrs	1.0%	58.3%	22.9%	17.7%	96
5 to 9 yrs	1.6%	54.8%	30.6%	12.9%	62 71
10 to 19 yrs	2.8%	46.5%	39.4%	11.3%	71 205
20 yrs & over		41.5%	22.4%	36.1%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

3. Developed, manicured park areas?

	Pe				
	Too many	<u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	1.6%	64.7%	16.4%	17.3%	434
Gender					
Male	2.0%	63.3%	16.6%	18.1%	199
Female	1.3%	66.0%	16.2%	16.6%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs		80.0%	16.4%	3.6%	55
25 to 34 yrs		73.7%	17.9%	8.4%	95
35 to 44 yrs	4.4%	63.2%	23.5%	8.8%	68
45 to 54 yrs	2.4%	70.7%	20.7%	6.1%	82
55 to 64 yrs	4.7%	69.8%	11.6%	14.0%	43
65 yrs & over		39.6%	7.7%	52.7%	91
Educational attainment					
Grades 1-12		85.7%		14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED	2.3%	78.9%	10.5%	8.3%	133
Some post HS	1.5%	78.5%	18.5%	1.5%	65
College graduate	1.8%	66.9%	25.8%	5.5%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		78.0%	9.8%	12.2%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	1.5%	83.8%	10.3%	4.4%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	2.4%	85.7%	11.9%	0.407	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	3.1%	65.6%	21.9%	9.4%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	5.8%	65.4%	19.2%	9.6%	52
\$75,000 & over		69.6%	26.8%	3.6%	56
Children in household	0.00/	C4 00/	40.70/	04.40/	200
No children	2.0% .7%	64.2%	12.7%	21.1% 8.9%	299
Children	.1 70	65.9%	24.4%	0.970	135
Neighborhood Washington		68.5%	27.8%	3.7%	54
CS Porter		78.8%	11.5%	9.6%	52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	73.8%	23.8%	9.070	42
Rattlesnake	2.6%	75.6 % 76.9%	23.6 % 17.9%	2.6%	39
Target Range	7.1%	78.6%	7.1%	7.1%	14
Hellgate	7.170	69.4%	27.8%	2.8%	36
Other	3.3%	73.3%	20.0%	3.3%	30
Residence	0.070	70.070	20.070	0.070	00
City	1.9%	68.5%	18.1%	11.5%	321
County	1.3%	74.0%	15.6%	9.1%	77
Rent or Own				21170	
Own	2.1%	69.4%	20.4%	8.1%	235
Rent	1.4%	76.7%	13.7%	8.2%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs		72.9%	16.7%	10.4%	96
5 to 9 yrs	3.2%	77.4%	12.9%	6.5%	62
10 to19 yrs	2.8%	62.0%	28.2%	7.0%	71
20 yrs & over	1.5%	58.0%	13.2%	27.3%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

4. Senior recreation centers?

	Pe				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	.2%	16.6%	33.4%	49.8%	434
Gender					
Male	.5%	16.6%	31.2%	51.8%	199
Female		16.6%	35.3%	48.1%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs		18.2%	34.5%	47.3%	55
25 to 34 yrs		8.4%	30.5%	61.1%	95
35 to 44 yrs		20.6%	27.9%	51.5%	68
45 to 54 yrs		13.4%	51.2%	35.4%	82
55 to 64 yrs	2.3%	20.9%	39.5%	37.2%	43
65 yrs & over		22.0%	20.9%	57.1%	91
Educational attainment					
Grades 1-12		14.3%	42.9%	42.9%	7
HS diploma-GED		17.3%	39.1%	43.6%	133
Some post HS		18.5%	38.5%	43.1%	65
College graduate	.6%	20.2%	35.0%	44.2%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		14.6%	43.9%	41.5%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		16.2%	39.7%	44.1%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		21.4%	35.7%	42.9%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		15.6%	28.1%	56.3%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		9.6%	44.2%	46.2%	52
\$75,000 & over		17.9%	37.5%	44.6%	56
Children in household					
No children	.3%	18.7%	31.4%	49.5%	299
Children		11.9%	37.8%	50.4%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington		29.6%	35.2%	35.2%	54
CS Porter		19.2%	48.1%	32.7%	52
Meadow Hill		9.5%	42.9%	47.6%	42
Rattlesnake		15.4%	35.9%	48.7%	39
Target Range		28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	14
Hellgate		11.1%	47.2%	41.7%	36
Other		23.3%	43.3%	33.3%	30
Residence					
City	.3%	18.7%	35.5%	45.5%	321
County		14.3%	37.7%	48.1%	77
Rent or Own					
Own		20.0%	38.7%	41.3%	235
Rent	.7%	16.4%	32.9%	50.0%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs		9.4%	28.1%	62.5%	96
5 to 9 yrs	1.6%	22.6%	33.9%	41.9%	62
10 to19 yrs		12.7%	33.8%	53.5%	71
20 yrs & over		19.5%	35.6%	44.9%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

5. Teen recreation centers?

Percentage of Respondents About					
	Too many	right	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	.2%	8.1%	53.2%	38.5%	434
Gender					
Male	.5%	7.0%	52.3%	40.2%	199
Female		8.9%	54.0%	37.0%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs		20.0%	52.7%	27.3%	55
25 to 34 yrs		3.2%	55.8%	41.1%	95
35 to 44 yrs		11.8%	57.4%	30.9%	68
45 to 54 yrs		7.3%	76.8%	15.9%	82
55 to 64 yrs	2.3%	4.7%	51.2%	41.9%	43
65 yrs & over		5.5%	27.5%	67.0%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	7
HS diploma-GED		6.8%	59.4%	33.8%	133
Some post HS		18.5%	58.5%	23.1%	65
College graduate	.6%	6.1%	63.2%	30.1%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		4.9%	61.0%	34.1%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		16.2%	58.8%	25.0%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		9.5%	69.0%	21.4%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		3.1%	62.5%	34.4%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		1.9%	61.5%	36.5%	52
\$75,000 & over		5.4%	69.6%	25.0%	56
Children in household					
No children	.3%	9.0%	46.8%	43.8%	299
Children		5.9%	67.4%	26.7%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington		7.4%	61.1%	31.5%	54
CS Porter		7.7%	73.1%	19.2%	52
Meadow Hill		2.4%	71.4%	26.2%	42
Rattlesnake		5.1%	61.5%	33.3%	39
Target Range		14.3%	50.0%	35.7%	14
Hellgate		8.3%	72.2%	19.4%	36
Other		10.0%	60.0%	30.0%	30
Residence					
City	.3%	8.4%	56.7%	34.6%	321
County		9.1%	61.0%	29.9%	77
Rent or Own					
Own		6.8%	60.9%	32.3%	235
Rent	.7%	12.3%	54.8%	32.2%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs		12.5%	36.5%	51.0%	96
5 to 9 yrs	1.6%	8.1%	54.8%	35.5%	62
10 to19 yrs		5.6%	63.4%	31.0%	71
20 yrs & over		6.8%	57.1%	36.1%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

6. Natural areas within urban parks?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	1.2%	42.4%	34.8%	21.7%	434
Gender					
Male	2.5%	36.7%	39.2%	21.6%	199
Female	,	47.2%	31.1%	21.7%	235
Age		11.270	31.170	21 /0	200
18 to 24 yrs	1.8%	50.9%	34.5%	12.7%	55
25 to 34 yrs	1.1%	40.0%	52.6%	6.3%	95
35 to 44 yrs		47.1%	38.2%	14.7%	68
45 to 54 yrs	1.2%	48.8%	41.5%	8.5%	82
55 to 64 yrs	2.3%	44.2%	25.6%	27.9%	43
65 yrs & over	1.1%	29.7%	12.1%	57.1%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED	.8%	49.6%	36.1%	13.5%	133
Some post HS	1.5%	44.6%	41.5%	12.3%	65
College graduate	1.8%	46.6%	43.6%	8.0%	163
Household				2.275	
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	4.9%	61.0%	26.8%	7.3%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		54.4%	38.2%	7.4%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		47.6%	45.2%	7.1%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		46.9%	37.5%	15.6%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		34.6%	51.9%	13.5%	52
\$75,000 & over	1.8%	48.2%	41.1%	8.9%	56
Children in household					
No children	1.3%	42.1%	30.4%	26.1%	299
Children	.7%	43.0%	44.4%	11.9%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington	1.9%	46.3%	42.6%	9.3%	54
CS Porter		42.3%	48.1%	9.6%	52
Meadow Hill		71.4%	19.0%	9.5%	42
Rattlesnake		43.6%	53.8%	2.6%	39
Target Range		64.3%	21.4%	14.3%	14
Hellgate		41.7%	44.4%	13.9%	36
Other	3.3%	40.0%	43.3%	13.3%	30
Residence					
City	.9%	43.9%	38.3%	16.8%	321
County	2.6%	50.6%	35.1%	11.7%	77
Rent or Own					
Own	1.3%	47.7%	37.9%	13.2%	235
Rent	1.4%	45.2%	41.1%	12.3%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs	2.1%	49.0%	32.3%	16.7%	96
5 to 9 yrs	1.6%	37.1%	50.0%	11.3%	62
10 to19 yrs	1.4%	39.4%	43.7%	15.5%	71
20 yrs & over	.5%	42.0%	28.3%	29.3%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

7. Passive use areas (Sitting, reading, gardens)?

	Pe				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	.7%	50.2%	27.9%	21.2%	434
Gender					
Male	1.5%	51.8%	25.6%	21.1%	199
Female		48.9%	29.8%	21.3%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs		67.3%	25.5%	7.3%	55
25 to 34 yrs		56.8%	35.8%	7.4%	95
35 to 44 yrs	1.5%	55.9%	26.5%	16.2%	68
45 to 54 yrs		50.0%	37.8%	12.2%	82
55 to 64 yrs	4.7%	55.8%	16.3%	23.3%	43
65 yrs & over		26.4%	18.7%	54.9%	91
Educational attainment					
Grades 1-12		57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED		60.2%	28.6%	11.3%	133
Some post HS		63.1%	27.7%	9.2%	65
College graduate	1.8%	52.8%	36.8%	8.6%	163
Household	1.070	32.070	30.070	0.070	100
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		63.4%	29.3%	7.3%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		61.8%	35.3%	2.9%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	4.8%	64.3%	26.2%	4.8%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	1.070	59.4%	28.1%	12.5%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		44.2%	38.5%	17.3%	52
\$75,000 & over		51.8%	39.3%	8.9%	56
Children in household		01.070	00.070	0.070	00
No children	1.0%	50.2%	23.1%	25.8%	299
Children	1.070	50.4%	38.5%	11.1%	135
Neighborhood		00.170	33.370	, 0	.00
Washington		48.1%	50.0%	1.9%	54
CS Porter		53.8%	32.7%	13.5%	52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	69.0%	23.8%	4.8%	42
Rattlesnake	2.170	56.4%	33.3%	10.3%	39
Target Range		57.1%	35.7%	7.1%	14
Hellgate	2.8%	55.6%	25.0%	16.7%	36
Other	2.070	46.7%	46.7%	6.7%	30
Residence			, ,	5 7 .	
City	.6%	53.0%	31.2%	15.3%	321
County	1.3%	58.4%	26.0%	14.3%	77
Rent or Own		33.175	_0.070		
Own	.9%	54.0%	32.3%	12.8%	235
Rent	.7%	60.3%	29.5%	9.6%	146
Years in Missoula	, ,	00.070	_0.070	0.070	
Less than 5 yrs		66.7%	18.8%	14.6%	96
5 to 9 yrs	3.2%	46.8%	38.7%	11.3%	62
10 to 19 yrs	1.4%	50.7%	32.4%	15.5%	71
20 yrs & over	,	43.4%	27.3%	29.3%	205
_0 ,.0 \$ 0.0.		. 3. 1 / 0	2	_3.070	

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

8. Exercise or fitness equipment?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	1.8%	29.7%	39.4%	29.0%	<u></u> 434
•					
Gender Male	1.5%	28.1%	42.7%	27.6%	199
Female	2.1%	31.1%	36.6%	30.2%	235
Age	2.170	31.170	30.070	30.270	200
18 to 24 yrs	3.6%	34.5%	43.6%	18.2%	55
25 to 34 yrs	1.1%	30.5%	52.6%	15.8%	95
35 to 44 yrs		38.2%	41.2%	20.6%	68
45 to 54 yrs	2.4%	29.3%	51.2%	17.1%	82
55 to 64 yrs	7.0%	30.2%	25.6%	37.2%	43
65 yrs & over		19.8%	17.6%	62.6%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED		33.8%	42.1%	24.1%	133
Some post HS	3.1%	29.2%	55.4%	12.3%	65
College graduate	3.1%	35.0%	45.4%	16.6%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		36.6%	39.0%	24.4%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	2.9%	29.4%	57.4%	10.3%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	2.4%	40.5%	45.2%	11.9%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		37.5%	43.8%	18.8%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	1.9%	25.0%	50.0%	23.1%	52
\$75,000 & over		33.9%	51.8%	14.3%	56
Children in household					
No children	2.3%	27.8%	35.5%	34.4%	299
Children	.7%	34.1%	48.1%	17.0%	135
Neighborhood	0.70/	07.00/	40.00/	40.00/	- 4
Washington	3.7%	37.0%	46.3%	13.0%	54
CS Porter	1.9%	32.7%	48.1%	17.3%	52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	50.0%	42.9%	4.8%	42
Rattlesnake	2.6%	28.2% 28.6%	48.7% 50.0%	20.5%	39
Target Range		30.6%	50.0%	21.4% 19.4%	14 36
Hellgate Other		30.6% 26.7%	60.0%	19.4%	30
Residence		20.7 70	00.0%	13.3%	30
City	1.6%	31.8%	42.1%	24.6%	321
County	2.6%	32.5%	44.2%	20.8%	77
Rent or Own	2.070	32.570	→→ .∠ /0	20.070	7.7
Own	1.3%	32.8%	45.1%	20.9%	235
Rent	2.7%	34.2%	42.5%	20.5%	146
Years in Missoula	2.1 70	01.270	12.070	20.070	110
Less than 5 yrs		29.2%	41.7%	29.2%	96
5 to 9 yrs	6.5%	32.3%	43.5%	17.7%	62
10 to 19 yrs	3.070	29.6%	50.7%	19.7%	71
20 yrs & over	2.0%	29.3%	33.2%	35.6%	205
== j.: 5. 5. 5. 5.	=.0.0	_3.0,0	· - ·	- 3.0 / 0	

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

9. Tennis courts?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	1.2%	44.5%	22.6%	31.8%	434
Gender					
Male	.5%	50.8%	19.1%	29.6%	199
Female	1.7%	39.1%	25.5%	33.6%	235
Age	/0	00.170	20.070	33.370	200
18 to 24 yrs	1.8%	50.9%	32.7%	14.5%	55
25 to 34 yrs	1.1%	58.9%	26.3%	13.7%	95
35 to 44 yrs	2.9%	52.9%	19.1%	25.0%	68
45 to 54 yrs		48.8%	29.3%	22.0%	82
55 to 64 yrs		27.9%	25.6%	46.5%	43
65 yrs & over	1.1%	23.1%	7.7%	68.1%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED		49.6%	22.6%	27.8%	133
Some post HS	6.2%	43.1%	30.8%	20.0%	65
College graduate	.6%	54.0%	27.6%	17.8%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	4.9%	46.3%	22.0%	26.8%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		52.9%	26.5%	20.6%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		61.9%	23.8%	14.3%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	3.1%	56.3%	15.6%	25.0%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		44.2%	32.7%	23.1%	52
\$75,000 & over		55.4%	32.1%	12.5%	56
Children in household	4.00/	07.00/	00.40/	00.00/	000
No children	1.3%	37.8%	22.1%	38.8%	299
Children	.7%	59.3%	23.7%	16.3%	135
Neighborhood		E4 00/	25.20/	40.00/	5 4
Washington CS Parter	1 00/	51.9%	35.2%	13.0%	54 52
CS Porter	1.9%	50.0%	28.8%	19.2%	52
Meadow Hill Rattlesnake		52.4% 53.8%	33.3% 23.1%	14.3% 23.1%	42 39
Target Range		50.0%	23.1%	28.6%	39 14
0		50.0%	30.6%	19.4%	36
Hellgate Other	3.3%	53.3%	26.7%	19.4%	30
Residence	3.570	33.370	20.7 /0	10.7 /0	30
City	1.2%	48.9%	23.1%	26.8%	321
County	1.3%	44.2%	27.3%	27.3%	77
Rent or Own	1.570	77.2 /0	27.570	21.570	, ,
Own	.9%	49.4%	24.7%	25.1%	235
Rent	2.1%	50.7%	25.3%	21.9%	146
Years in Missoula	,	33 / 3	_0.070	,	
Less than 5 yrs	2.1%	47.9%	24.0%	26.0%	96
5 to 9 yrs	,3	45.2%	29.0%	25.8%	62
10 to 19 yrs	1.4%	59.2%	15.5%	23.9%	71
20 yrs & over	1.0%	37.6%	22.4%	39.0%	205
. ,					

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

10. Outdoor basketball courts?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	.7%	40.3%	27.4%	31.6%	434
Gender					
Male	1.5%	43.2%	26.6%	28.6%	199
Female		37.9%	28.1%	34.0%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs		50.9%	40.0%	9.1%	55
25 to 34 yrs		51.6%	36.8%	11.6%	95
35 to 44 yrs		44.1%	33.8%	22.1%	68
45 to 54 yrs	2.4%	43.9%	26.8%	26.8%	82
55 to 64 yrs	2.3%	32.6%	18.6%	46.5%	43
65 yrs & over		19.8%	9.9%	70.3%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED		48.9%	25.6%	25.6%	133
Some post HS	1.5%	46.2%	33.8%	18.5%	65
College graduate	1.2%	42.9%	36.8%	19.0%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		51.2%	24.4%	24.4%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		51.5%	30.9%	17.6%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		57.1%	26.2%	16.7%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		37.5%	34.4%	28.1%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	3.8%	30.8%	42.3%	23.1%	52
\$75,000 & over		51.8%	35.7%	12.5%	56
Children in household					
No children	.3%	37.5%	23.4%	38.8%	299
Children	1.5%	46.7%	36.3%	15.6%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington		53.7%	35.2%	11.1%	54
CS Porter	1.9%	44.2%	34.6%	19.2%	52
Meadow Hill		54.8%	23.8%	21.4%	42
Rattlesnake		43.6%	35.9%	20.5%	39
Target Range		50.0%	28.6%	21.4%	14
Hellgate		30.6%	52.8%	16.7%	36
Other		63.3%	23.3%	13.3%	30
Residence					
City	.6%	45.5%	28.3%	25.5%	321
County	1.3%	35.1%	33.8%	29.9%	77
Rent or Own					
Own	.9%	42.1%	31.5%	25.5%	235
Rent	.7%	50.0%	29.5%	19.9%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs		42.7%	30.2%	27.1%	96
5 to 9 yrs	1.6%	50.0%	32.3%	16.1%	62
10 to19 yrs	4.007	42.3%	31.0%	26.8%	71
20 yrs & over	1.0%	35.6%	23.4%	40.0%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

11. Outdoor swimming or wading pools?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	.5%	30.4%	47.2%	21.9%	434
Gender					
Male	1.0%	32.2%	43.7%	23.1%	199
Female		28.9%	50.2%	20.9%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs		25.5%	67.3%	7.3%	55
25 to 34 yrs	1.1%	34.7%	54.7%	9.5%	95
35 to 44 yrs		41.2%	45.6%	13.2%	68
45 to 54 yrs		34.1%	57.3%	8.5%	82
55 to 64 yrs	2.3%	27.9%	44.2%	25.6%	43
65 yrs & over		18.7%	20.9%	60.4%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED		37.6%	52.6%	9.8%	133
Some post HS	1.5%	35.4%	53.8%	9.2%	65
College graduate	.6%	33.7%	55.2%	10.4%	163
Household	.070	00.1 70	00.270	10.170	100
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		24.4%	58.5%	17.1%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		32.4%	61.8%	5.9%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		42.9%	54.8%	2.4%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		37.5%	53.1%	9.4%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		28.8%	53.8%	17.3%	52
\$75,000 & over		39.3%	51.8%	8.9%	56
Children in household		33.370	31.070	0.570	30
No children	.7%	26.8%	43.1%	29.4%	299
Children	.7 70	38.5%	56.3%	5.2%	135
Neighborhood		30.570	30.370	3.2 /0	100
Washington		33.3%	64.8%	1.9%	54
CS Porter		38.5%	51.9%	9.6%	5 4
Meadow Hill		47.6%	47.6%	4.8%	42
Rattlesnake		35.9%	51.3%	12.8%	39
Target Range		50.0%	42.9%	7.1%	14
9		30.6%	66.7%	2.8%	36
Hellgate		36.7%			
Other Residence		30.7 %	53.3%	10.0%	30
	C 0/	20 50/	EO 00/	40.40/	204
City	.6%	30.5%	50.8%	18.1%	321
County		41.6%	50.6%	7.8%	77
Rent or Own		20.60/	40.40/	40.00/	225
Own	4.40/	39.6%	48.1%	12.3%	235
Rent	1.4%	25.3%	59.6%	13.7%	146
Years in Missoula		00.00/	EO 40/	05.00/	00
Less than 5 yrs	0.00/	22.9%	52.1%	25.0%	96
5 to 9 yrs	3.2%	33.9%	51.6%	11.3%	62
10 to 19 yrs		33.8%	59.2%	7.0%	71
20 yrs & over		31.7%	39.5%	28.8%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

12. Softball-baseball parks?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	2.8%	60.1%	13.8%	23.3%	<u></u> 434
•					
Gender Male	4.0%	62.3%	13.1%	20.6%	199
Female	1.7%	58.3%	14.5%	25.5%	235
Age	1.770	30.370	14.570	23.570	200
18 to 24 yrs		74.5%	20.0%	5.5%	55
25 to 34 yrs	1.1%	73.7%	15.8%	9.5%	95
35 to 44 yrs	4.4%	66.2%	13.2%	16.2%	68
45 to 54 yrs	3.7%	67.1%	19.5%	9.8%	82
55 to 64 yrs	9.3%	44.2%	16.3%	30.2%	43
65 yrs & over	1.1%	34.1%	2.2%	62.6%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED	3.8%	69.2%	15.8%	11.3%	133
Some post HS	3.1%	70.8%	15.4%	10.8%	65
College graduate	3.1%	68.1%	16.0%	12.9%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	2.4%	75.6%	12.2%	9.8%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	2.9%	75.0%	16.2%	5.9%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	4.8%	73.8%	14.3%	7.1%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		71.9%	9.4%	18.8%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		63.5%	23.1%	13.5%	52
\$75,000 & over	1.8%	73.2%	12.5%	12.5%	56
Children in household					
No children	3.0%	55.2%	11.7%	30.1%	299
Children	2.2%	71.1%	18.5%	8.1%	135
Neighborhood	5.00 /	74.40/	4.4.00/	5.00 /	- 4
Washington	5.6%	74.1%	14.8%	5.6%	54
CS Porter	1.9%	78.8%	9.6%	9.6%	52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	73.8%	16.7%	7.1%	42
Rattlesnake	2.6%	66.7% 100.0%	17.9%	12.8%	39 14
Target Range		61.1%	30.6%	8.3%	36
Hellgate Other	6.7%	66.7%	20.0%	6.3% 6.7%	30
Residence	0.770	00.7 %	20.0%	0.770	30
City	3.4%	64.2%	13.4%	19.0%	321
County	1.3%	66.2%	20.8%	11.7%	77
Rent or Own	1.570	00.270	20.070	11.770	,,
Own	2.6%	67.2%	14.5%	15.7%	235
Rent	4.1%	68.5%	15.8%	11.6%	146
Years in Missoula	1.170	00.070	10.070	11.070	110
Less than 5 yrs		63.5%	16.7%	19.8%	96
5 to 9 yrs	4.8%	62.9%	9.7%	22.6%	62
10 to 19 yrs	2.8%	74.6%	8.5%	14.1%	71
20 yrs & over	3.4%	52.7%	15.6%	28.3%	205
_0 ,.0 \$ 0.0.	0.170	J= /0	10.070	_3.070	

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

13. Multipurpose fields such as soccer or football fields?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	.9%	49.3%	24.7%	25.1%	434
Gender					
Male	2.0%	50.8%	25.1%	22.1%	199
Female		48.1%	24.3%	27.7%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs		58.2%	36.4%	5.5%	55
25 to 34 yrs		58.9%	30.5%	10.5%	95
35 to 44 yrs	1.5%	55.9%	32.4%	10.3%	68
45 to 54 yrs	2.4%	47.6%	35.4%	14.6%	82
55 to 64 yrs	2.3%	53.5%	9.3%	34.9%	43
65 yrs & over		28.6%	3.3%	68.1%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED		61.7%	22.6%	15.8%	133
Some post HS	1.5%	49.2%	30.8%	18.5%	65
College graduate	1.8%	55.2%	32.5%	10.4%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		61.0%	24.4%	14.6%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		64.7%	22.1%	13.2%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		61.9%	31.0%	7.1%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		56.3%	25.0%	18.8%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		50.0%	38.5%	11.5%	52
\$75,000 & over		60.7%	32.1%	7.1%	56
Children in household					
No children	1.0%	44.8%	21.1%	33.1%	299
Children	.7%	59.3%	32.6%	7.4%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington	1.9%	48.1%	42.6%	7.4%	54
CS Porter		55.8%	28.8%	15.4%	52
Meadow Hill		66.7%	23.8%	9.5%	42
Rattlesnake		53.8%	28.2%	17.9%	39
Target Range		78.6%	21.4%		14
Hellgate		58.3%	33.3%	8.3%	36
Other		53.3%	40.0%	6.7%	30
Residence					
City	1.2%	51.4%	25.2%	22.1%	321
County		58.4%	32.5%	9.1%	77
Rent or Own	40/		o= =0/	4= 00/	
Own	.4%	54.0%	27.7%	17.9%	235
Rent	2.1%	56.8%	28.1%	13.0%	146
Years in Missoula		55 00/	00.00/	40.00/	00
Less than 5 yrs	0.00/	55.2%	26.0%	18.8%	96
5 to 9 yrs	3.2%	51.6%	25.8%	19.4%	62
10 to19 yrs	4.00/	49.3%	36.6%	14.1%	71
20 yrs & over	1.0%	45.9%	19.5%	33.7%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

14. Paved trails (bike, walk, jog, skate)?

	Percentage of Respondents About				
	Too many	right	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	2.8%	42.4%	30.9%	24.0%	434
Gender					
Male	5.5%	44.2%	26.6%	23.6%	199
Female	.4%	40.9%	34.5%	24.3%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	7.3%	54.5%	30.9%	7.3%	55
25 to 34 yrs	3.2%	44.2%	44.2%	8.4%	95
35 to 44 yrs	1.5%	44.1%	39.7%	14.7%	68
45 to 54 yrs	3.7%	45.1%	36.6%	14.6%	82
55 to 64 yrs	2.3%	39.5%	25.6%	32.6%	43
65 yrs & over		30.8%	7.7%	61.5%	91
Educational attainment					
Grades 1-12		42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED	1.5%	50.4%	32.3%	15.8%	133
Some post HS	9.2%	53.8%	29.2%	7.7%	65
College graduate	1.8%	45.4%	41.1%	11.7%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	4.9%	58.5%	24.4%	12.2%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	1.5%	55.9%	36.8%	5.9%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		52.4%	38.1%	9.5%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	3.1%	37.5%	43.8%	15.6%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		36.5%	48.1%	15.4%	52
\$75,000 & over	3.6%	46.4%	41.1%	8.9%	56
Children in household					
No children	2.7%	42.5%	25.1%	29.8%	299
Children	3.0%	42.2%	43.7%	11.1%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington	9.3%	42.6%	38.9%	9.3%	54
CS Porter	1.9%	48.1%	38.5%	11.5%	52
Meadow Hill		54.8%	26.2%	19.0%	42
Rattlesnake	2.6%	43.6%	43.6%	10.3%	39
Target Range		71.4%	21.4%	7.1%	14
Hellgate		44.4%	47.2%	8.3%	36
Other		50.0%	40.0%	10.0%	30
Residence					
City	2.8%	46.1%	32.1%	19.0%	321
County	3.9%	44.2%	37.7%	14.3%	77
Rent or Own					
Own	2.1%	46.0%	36.2%	15.7%	235
Rent	4.8%	50.0%	32.9%	12.3%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs	5.2%	49.0%	28.1%	17.7%	96
5 to 9 yrs	3.2%	43.5%	40.3%	12.9%	62
10 to19 yrs	4.2%	40.8%	42.3%	12.7%	71
20 yrs & over	1.0%	39.5%	25.4%	34.1%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

15. In-line hockey rinks?

	Percentage of Respondents About				
	Too many	right	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	1.6%	17.7%	34.1%	46.5%	434
Gender					
Male	3.0%	21.1%	33.7%	42.2%	199
Female	.4%	14.9%	34.5%	50.2%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	3.6%	14.5%	54.5%	27.3%	55
25 to 34 yrs	1.1%	22.1%	40.0%	36.8%	95
35 to 44 yrs		22.1%	42.6%	35.3%	68
45 to 54 yrs	1.2%	23.2%	34.1%	41.5%	82
55 to 64 yrs	7.0%	11.6%	32.6%	48.8%	43
65 yrs & over		9.9%	9.9%	80.2%	91
Educational attainment					
Grades 1-12		28.6%	42.9%	28.6%	7
HS diploma-GED		18.0%	36.8%	45.1%	133
Some post HS	1.5%	16.9%	52.3%	29.2%	65
College graduate	3.7%	22.7%	36.8%	36.8%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	2.4%	17.1%	31.7%	48.8%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		29.4%	45.6%	25.0%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	2.4%	21.4%	50.0%	26.2%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		15.6%	40.6%	43.8%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		15.4%	44.2%	40.4%	52
\$75,000 & over	1.8%	21.4%	39.3%	37.5%	56
Children in household					
No children	2.0%	15.7%	28.4%	53.8%	299
Children	.7%	22.2%	46.7%	30.4%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington	3.7%	22.2%	50.0%	24.1%	54
CS Porter		13.5%	53.8%	32.7%	52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	16.7%	45.2%	35.7%	42
Rattlesnake	5.1%	17.9%	33.3%	43.6%	39
Target Range		28.6%	35.7%	35.7%	14
Hellgate		27.8%	33.3%	38.9%	36
Other	3.3%	16.7%	50.0%	30.0%	30
Residence					
City	2.2%	19.3%	35.8%	42.7%	321
County		18.2%	40.3%	41.6%	77
Rent or Own					
Own	1.7%	17.9%	38.7%	41.7%	235
Rent	2.1%	23.3%	38.4%	36.3%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs		15.6%	37.5%	46.9%	96
5 to 9 yrs	6.5%	22.6%	32.3%	38.7%	62
10 to19 yrs		21.1%	46.5%	32.4%	71
20 yrs & over	1.5%	16.1%	28.8%	53.7%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

16. Skateparks?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	1.4%	25.1%	42.4%	31.1%	434
Gender					
Male	2.5%	27.6%	42.2%	27.6%	199
Female	.4%	23.0%	42.6%	34.0%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs		27.3%	56.4%	16.4%	55
25 to 34 yrs	1.1%	31.6%	52.6%	14.7%	95
35 to 44 yrs	1.5%	29.4%	48.5%	20.6%	68
45 to 54 yrs	2.4%	23.2%	57.3%	17.1%	82
55 to 64 yrs	4.7%	27.9%	25.6%	41.9%	43
65 yrs & over		14.3%	13.2%	72.5%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED	1.5%	27.1%	47.4%	24.1%	133
Some post HS		27.7%	55.4%	16.9%	65
College graduate	2.5%	29.4%	49.1%	19.0%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		26.8%	48.8%	24.4%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		33.8%	50.0%	16.2%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		33.3%	52.4%	14.3%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	6.3%	28.1%	53.1%	12.5%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		21.2%	59.6%	19.2%	52
\$75,000 & over	3.6%	30.4%	48.2%	17.9%	56
Children in household					
No children	1.3%	22.1%	37.5%	39.1%	299
Children	1.5%	31.9%	53.3%	13.3%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington		35.2%	50.0%	14.8%	54
CS Porter	1.9%	19.2%	55.8%	23.1%	52
Meadow Hill		45.2%	42.9%	11.9%	42
Rattlesnake	2.6%	17.9%	56.4%	23.1%	39
Target Range		57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	14
Hellgate		33.3%	55.6%	11.1%	36
Other	6.7%	16.7%	53.3%	23.3%	30
Residence					
City	1.2%	27.1%	44.9%	26.8%	321
County	2.6%	28.6%	48.1%	20.8%	77
Rent or Own				/	
Own	2.1%	27.7%	47.2%	23.0%	235
Rent	.7%	28.8%	48.6%	21.9%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs		26.0%	45.8%	28.1%	96
5 to 9 yrs	4.8%	30.6%	46.8%	17.7%	62
10 to19 yrs	1.4%	25.4%	53.5%	19.7%	71
20 yrs & over	1.0%	22.9%	35.6%	40.5%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

17. Wildlife and natural area information stations?

	Pe				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	.9%	37.3%	34.8%	27.0%	434
Gender					
Male	2.0%	41.7%	31.2%	25.1%	199
Female		33.6%	37.9%	28.5%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	1.8%	30.9%	54.5%	12.7%	55
25 to 34 yrs		41.1%	48.4%	10.5%	95
35 to 44 yrs		45.6%	38.2%	16.2%	68
45 to 54 yrs	1.2%	41.5%	37.8%	19.5%	82
55 to 64 yrs	2.3%	44.2%	20.9%	32.6%	43
65 yrs & over	1.1%	24.2%	9.9%	64.8%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED		39.1%	38.3%	22.6%	133
Some post HS	1.5%	47.7%	40.0%	10.8%	65
College graduate	1.8%	44.2%	40.5%	13.5%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	2.4%	41.5%	41.5%	14.6%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		36.8%	48.5%	14.7%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	2.4%	52.4%	33.3%	11.9%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		46.9%	31.3%	21.9%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	1.9%	34.6%	46.2%	17.3%	52
\$75,000 & over		51.8%	35.7%	12.5%	56
Children in household					
No children	1.0%	35.5%	29.4%	34.1%	299
Children	.7%	41.5%	46.7%	11.1%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington	1.9%	33.3%	50.0%	14.8%	54
CS Porter		38.5%	48.1%	13.5%	52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	40.5%	38.1%	19.0%	42
Rattlesnake		41.0%	43.6%	15.4%	39
Target Range		64.3%	14.3%	21.4%	14
Hellgate		52.8%	36.1%	11.1%	36
Other		40.0%	53.3%	6.7%	30
Residence					
City	.6%	39.9%	38.0%	21.5%	321
County	2.6%	42.9%	33.8%	20.8%	77
Rent or Own					
Own	1.3%	40.9%	37.4%	20.4%	235
Rent	.7%	42.5%	41.8%	15.1%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs	1.0%	50.0%	32.3%	16.7%	96
5 to 9 yrs	1.6%	30.6%	50.0%	17.7%	62
10 to19 yrs	1.4%	39.4%	42.3%	16.9%	71
20 yrs & over	.5%	32.7%	28.8%	38.0%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

18. River access sites?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	.2%	48.8%	31.1%	19.8%	434
•					
Gender Male	.5%	46.2%	34.7%	18.6%	199
Female	.5 /0	51.1%	28.1%	20.9%	235
Age		01.170	20.170	20.070	200
18 to 24 yrs	1.8%	63.6%	27.3%	7.3%	55
25 to 34 yrs		58.9%	36.8%	4.2%	95
35 to 44 yrs		55.9%	32.4%	11.8%	68
45 to 54 yrs		41.5%	50.0%	8.5%	82
55 to 64 yrs		53.5%	30.2%	16.3%	43
65 yrs & over		28.6%	9.9%	61.5%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED		55.6%	33.8%	10.5%	133
Some post HS	1.5%	53.8%	40.0%	4.6%	65
College graduate		57.1%	36.2%	6.7%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	2.4%	61.0%	29.3%	7.3%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		58.8%	36.8%	4.4%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		61.9%	35.7%	2.4%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		59.4%	31.3%	9.4%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		51.9%	36.5%	11.5%	52
\$75,000 & over		51.8%	46.4%	1.8%	56
Children in household	20/	47.50/	07.00/	04.40/	000
No children	.3%	47.5% 51.0%	27.8%	24.4%	299
Children		51.9%	38.5%	9.6%	135
Neighborhood Washington		44.4%	44.4%	11.1%	54
CS Porter		61.5%	30.8%	7.7%	5 4 52
Meadow Hill		52.4%	35.7%	11.9%	42
Rattlesnake		48.7%	43.6%	7.7%	39
Target Range		42.9%	50.0%	7.1%	14
Hellgate		61.1%	36.1%	2.8%	36
Other		63.3%	36.7%	2.070	30
Residence		30.070	33.1 70		00
City		51.7%	33.0%	15.3%	321
County	1.3%	58.4%	33.8%	6.5%	77
Rent or Own					
Own		52.8%	35.7%	11.5%	235
Rent	.7%	56.8%	33.6%	8.9%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs	1.0%	55.2%	32.3%	11.5%	96
5 to 9 yrs		56.5%	33.9%	9.7%	62
10 to19 yrs		53.5%	36.6%	9.9%	71
20 yrs & over		42.0%	27.8%	30.2%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

19. Outdoor facilities for performances or festivals?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	.5%	41.9%	36.4%	21.2%	434
Gender					
Male	.5%	44.7%	34.7%	20.1%	199
Female	.4%	39.6%	37.9%	22.1%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	1.8%	49.1%	40.0%	9.1%	55
25 to 34 yrs		49.5%	43.2%	7.4%	95
35 to 44 yrs	1.5%	44.1%	45.6%	8.8%	68
45 to 54 yrs		41.5%	47.6%	11.0%	82
55 to 64 yrs		44.2%	32.6%	23.3%	43
65 yrs & over		27.5%	12.1%	60.4%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED		53.4%	38.3%	8.3%	133
Some post HS	1.5%	43.1%	46.2%	9.2%	65
College graduate	.6%	46.0%	44.2%	9.2%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	2.4%	53.7%	36.6%	7.3%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		57.4%	33.8%	8.8%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		50.0%	47.6%	2.4%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	3.1%	25.0%	53.1%	18.8%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		32.7%	57.7%	9.6%	52
\$75,000 & over		50.0%	50.0%		56
Children in household					
No children	.3%	42.8%	29.4%	27.4%	299
Children	.7%	40.0%	51.9%	7.4%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington		50.0%	42.6%	7.4%	54
CS Porter		36.5%	55.8%	7.7%	52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	59.5%	35.7%	2.4%	42
Rattlesnake		38.5%	48.7%	12.8%	39
Target Range		35.7%	50.0%	14.3%	14
Hellgate		38.9%	58.3%	2.8%	36
Other		53.3%	40.0%	6.7%	30
Residence	00/	47.00/	07.70/	45.00/	004
City	.3%	47.0%	37.7%	15.0%	321
County	1.3%	37.7%	46.8%	14.3%	77
Rent or Own	40/	40.40/	44.00/	44.00/	005
Own	.4%	43.4%	44.3%	11.9%	235
Rent	.7%	52.1%	36.3%	11.0%	146
Years in Missoula	4 00/	40.00/	0.4.40/	45.00/	00
Less than 5 yrs	1.0%	49.0%	34.4%	15.6%	96
5 to 9 yrs		53.2%	37.1%	9.7%	62 71
10 to 19 yrs	E0/	35.2%	52.1%	12.7%	71 205
20 yrs & over	.5%	37.6%	31.7%	30.2%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

20. Picnic facilities?

	Percentage of Respondents About				
	Too many	<u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	.7%	60.8%	20.7%	17.7%	434
Gender					
Male	1.0%	60.3%	20.6%	18.1%	199
Female	.4%	61.3%	20.9%	17.4%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	1.8%	70.9%	21.8%	5.5%	55
25 to 34 yrs		72.6%	24.2%	3.2%	95
35 to 44 yrs		69.1%	23.5%	7.4%	68
45 to 54 yrs		63.4%	28.0%	8.5%	82
55 to 64 yrs	2.3%	65.1%	14.0%	18.6%	43
65 yrs & over	1.1%	31.9%	11.0%	56.0%	91
Educational					
attainment					_
Grades 1-12		71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED	4 50/	72.2%	22.6%	5.3%	133
Some post HS	1.5%	66.2%	26.2%	6.2%	65
College graduate	1.2%	68.1%	25.2%	5.5%	163
Household					
Income in 2003	0.40/	70.70/	22.00/	4.00/	44
Less than \$15,000	2.4%	70.7% 66.2%	22.0%	4.9%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999 \$30,000-\$39,999		76.2%	29.4% 21.4%	4.4% 2.4%	68 42
\$40,000-\$39,999		78.1%	15.6%	6.3%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		63.5%	28.8%	0.3% 7.7%	52 52
\$75,000 & over	1.8%	67.9%	28.6%	1.8%	56
Children in household	1.070	07.570	20.070	1.070	30
No children	1.0%	58.9%	16.4%	23.7%	299
Children	1.070	65.2%	30.4%	4.4%	135
Neighborhood		00.270	33.170	1.170	.00
Washington		66.7%	31.5%	1.9%	54
CS Porter	1.9%	67.3%	28.8%	1.9%	52
Meadow Hill		71.4%	23.8%	4.8%	42
Rattlesnake	2.6%	61.5%	33.3%	2.6%	39
Target Range		78.6%	21.4%		14
Hellgate		61.1%	36.1%	2.8%	36
Other		86.7%	13.3%		30
Residence					
City	.6%	65.1%	21.5%	12.8%	321
County	1.3%	68.8%	26.0%	3.9%	77
Rent or Own					
Own	.4%	68.9%	22.1%	8.5%	235
Rent	1.4%	66.4%	25.3%	6.8%	146
Years in Missoula					_
Less than 5 yrs	1.0%	65.6%	20.8%	12.5%	96
5 to 9 yrs	1.6%	67.7%	22.6%	8.1%	62
10 to19 yrs		64.8%	25.4%	9.9%	71
20 yrs & over	.5%	55.1%	18.5%	25.9%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

21. Dog specific areas?

Percentage of Respondents					
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	1.6%	28.1%	41.0%	29.3%	<u></u> 434
•	1.670	20.170	11.070	20.070	
Gender Male	3.0%	22 70/	25 70/	20 60/	100
rviale Female	3.0% .4%	32.7% 24.3%	35.7% 45.5%	28.6% 29.8%	199 235
Age	.470	24.3%	45.5%	29.0%	233
18 to 24 yrs		40.0%	41.8%	18.2%	55
25 to 34 yrs	3.2%	34.7%	50.5%	11.6%	95
35 to 44 yrs		33.8%	39.7%	26.5%	68
45 to 54 yrs	3.7%	26.8%	51.2%	18.3%	82
55 to 64 yrs		18.6%	46.5%	34.9%	43
65 yrs & over	1.1%	15.4%	19.8%	63.7%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED	2.3%	36.1%	39.8%	21.8%	133
Some post HS	1.5%	20.0%	56.9%	21.5%	65
College graduate	1.2%	33.1%	50.3%	15.3%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		36.6%	41.5%	22.0%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	2.9%	20.6%	60.3%	16.2%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		38.1%	40.5%	21.4%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	3.1%	34.4%	34.4%	28.1%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	1.9%	26.9%	51.9%	19.2%	52
\$75,000 & over	1.8%	30.4%	57.1%	10.7%	56
Children in household					
No children	.7%	27.4%	38.5%	33.4%	299
Children	3.7%	29.6%	46.7%	20.0%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington	3.7%	27.8%	55.6%	13.0%	54
CS Porter		28.8%	55.8%	15.4%	52
Meadow Hill	0.00/	28.6%	47.6%	23.8%	42
Rattlesnake	2.6%	25.6%	56.4%	15.4%	39
Target Range	7.1%	35.7%	28.6%	28.6%	14
Hellgate	2.8%	30.6%	38.9%	27.8%	36
Other	3.3%	33.3%	50.0%	13.3%	30
Residence	1 20/	24 00/	42 20/	22.70/	224
City County	1.2% 3.9%	31.8% 24.7%	43.3% 49.4%	23.7% 22.1%	321 77
Rent or Own	3.970	24.7 70	49.470	22.170	7.7
Own	2.6%	29.4%	47.2%	20.9%	235
Rent	.7%	34.2%	47.2% 45.2%	19.9%	146
Years in Missoula	.1 70	34.2 /0	75.270	19.970	140
Less than 5 yrs	2.1%	36.5%	36.5%	25.0%	96
5 to 9 yrs	1.6%	32.3%	46.8%	19.4%	62
10 to 19 yrs	2.8%	31.0%	54.9%	11.3%	71
20 yrs & over	1.0%	22.0%	36.6%	40.5%	205
20 y10 d 0v01	1.070	22.0 /0	30.070	4 0.070	200

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

22. Sculpture-public artworks?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	DK	<u>N</u>
All respondents	3.0%	32.0%	40.1%	24.9%	434
Gender					
Male	4.0%	34.7%	36.2%	25.1%	199
Female	2.1%	29.8%	43.4%	24.7%	235
Age	2.170	20.070	40.470	24.770	200
18 to 24 yrs	5.5%	36.4%	49.1%	9.1%	55
25 to 34 yrs		41.1%	47.4%	11.6%	95
35 to 44 yrs	1.5%	30.9%	44.1%	23.5%	68
45 to 54 yrs	6.1%	29.3%	52.4%	12.2%	82
55 to 64 yrs	9.3%	34.9%	25.6%	30.2%	43
65 yrs & over		22.0%	19.8%	58.2%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED	.8%	39.1%	42.1%	18.0%	133
Some post HS	4.6%	30.8%	52.3%	12.3%	65
College graduate	5.5%	36.2%	47.9%	10.4%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	2.4%	36.6%	48.8%	12.2%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	2.9%	47.1%	44.1%	5.9%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	4.8%	52.4%	33.3%	9.5%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	12.5%	21.9%	46.9%	18.8%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	3.8%	30.8%	40.4%	25.0%	52
\$75,000 & over	1.8%	33.9%	55.4%	8.9%	56
Children in household					
No children	3.0%	30.4%	36.1%	30.4%	299
Children	3.0%	35.6%	48.9%	12.6%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington	3.7%	27.8%	59.3%	9.3%	54
CS Porter		30.8%	57.7%	11.5%	52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	47.6%	35.7%	14.3%	42
Rattlesnake	5.1%	38.5%	48.7%	7.7%	39
Target Range	21.4%	50.0%	14.3%	14.3%	14
Hellgate	2.8%	36.1%	55.6%	5.6%	36
Other	3.3%	33.3%	50.0%	13.3%	30
Residence		/			
City	2.2%	33.6%	44.9%	19.3%	321
County	7.8%	37.7%	37.7%	16.9%	77
Rent or Own		o . = o .	10.10/	4- 40/	
Own	4.7%	34.5%	43.4%	17.4%	235
Rent	1.4%	37.7%	47.9%	13.0%	146
Years in Missoula	0.40/	07.50/	00.5%	04.00/	00
Less than 5 yrs	2.1%	37.5%	38.5%	21.9%	96
5 to 9 yrs	3.2%	29.0%	48.4%	19.4%	62 71
10 to 19 yrs	2.8%	35.2%	46.5%	15.5%	71
20 yrs & over	3.4%	29.3%	36.1%	31.2%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

23. Gyms or indoor recreation facilities?

Percentage of Respondents					
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	1.2%	38.9%	33.4%	26.5%	434
Gender					
Male	.5%	38.7%	33.7%	27.1%	199
Female	1.7%	39.1%	33.2%	26.0%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	1.8%	60.0%	27.3%	10.9%	55
25 to 34 yrs	1.1%	42.1%	43.2%	13.7%	95
35 to 44 yrs	1.5%	39.7%	42.6%	16.2%	68
45 to 54 yrs	1.2%	35.4%	47.6%	15.9%	82
55 to 64 yrs		48.8%	23.3%	27.9%	43
65 yrs & over	1.1%	20.9%	12.1%	65.9%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED	.8%	48.1%	33.8%	17.3%	133
Some post HS	1.5%	47.7%	38.5%	12.3%	65
College graduate	1.8%	41.1%	43.6%	13.5%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		53.7%	29.3%	17.1%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		51.5%	35.3%	13.2%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		52.4%	35.7%	11.9%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	3.1%	43.8%	37.5%	15.6%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	1.9%	36.5%	42.3%	19.2%	52
\$75,000 & over		37.5%	53.6%	8.9%	56
Children in household					
No children	.7%	38.8%	27.1%	33.4%	299
Children	2.2%	39.3%	47.4%	11.1%	135
Neighborhood		,			
Washington	3.7%	38.9%	51.9%	5.6%	54
CS Porter	1.9%	42.3%	44.2%	11.5%	52
Meadow Hill		50.0%	45.2%	4.8%	42
Rattlesnake		46.2%	35.9%	17.9%	39
Target Range		50.0%	28.6%	21.4%	14
Hellgate		44.4%	41.7%	13.9%	36
Other		60.0%	33.3%	6.7%	30
Residence	4.00/	00.00/	07.40/	00.40/	004
City	1.2%	39.6%	37.1%	22.1%	321
County	1.3%	51.9%	32.5%	14.3%	77
Rent or Own	0.40/	40.40/	20.00/	44.00/	005
Own	2.1%	43.4%	39.6%	14.9%	235
Rent		45.2%	33.6%	21.2%	146
Years in Missoula		40 70/	20 40/	20.20/	06
Less than 5 yrs	1 60/	42.7%	28.1% 43.5%	29.2%	96
5 to 9 yrs	1.6% 1.4%	41.9% 36.6%	43.5% 45.1%	12.9% 16.9%	62 71
10 to 19 yrs					
20 yrs & over	1.5%	37.1%	28.8%	32.7%	205

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

24. Indoor swimming pools?

	Percentage of Respondents About				
	Too many	right	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	<u></u>	19.4%	56.0%	24.7%	434
•		10.170	00.070	21.770	101
Gender					
Male		21.1%	53.3%	25.6%	199
Female		17.9%	58.3%	23.8%	235
Age		05.50/	04.00/	40.70/	
18 to 24 yrs		25.5%	61.8%	12.7%	55
25 to 34 yrs		20.0% 25.0%	66.3% 63.2%	13.7% 11.8%	95 68
35 to 44 yrs		25.0% 18.3%	68.3%	13.4%	82
45 to 54 yrs		18.6%	46.5%	34.9%	43
55 to 64 yrs 65 yrs & over		12.1%	40.5% 29.7%	54.9% 58.2%	91
Educational		12.170	23.1 /0	30.270	31
attainment					
Grades 1-12		28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED		22.6%	63.9%	13.5%	133
Some post HS		23.1%	67.7%	9.2%	65
College graduate		22.1%	64.4%	13.5%	163
Household		,	•,		
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		17.1%	58.5%	24.4%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		23.5%	69.1%	7.4%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999		26.2%	64.3%	9.5%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		25.0%	59.4%	15.6%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		19.2%	71.2%	9.6%	52
\$75,000 & over		19.6%	73.2%	7.1%	56
Children in household					
No children		19.7%	47.8%	32.4%	299
Children		18.5%	74.1%	7.4%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington		20.4%	72.2%	7.4%	54
CS Porter		13.5%	78.8%	7.7%	52
Meadow Hill		21.4%	71.4%	7.1%	42
Rattlesnake		15.4% 28.6%	71.8% 71.4%	12.8%	39 14
Target Range		30.6%	63.9%	5.6%	
Hellgate Other		20.0%	66.7%	13.3%	36 30
Residence		20.070	00.7 70	13.570	30
City		19.9%	60.1%	19.9%	321
County		26.0%	61.0%	13.0%	77
Rent or Own		20.070	01.070	10.070	, ,
Own		21.7%	64.7%	13.6%	235
Rent		21.9%	60.3%	17.8%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs		20.8%	49.0%	30.2%	96
5 to 9 yrs		22.6%	69.4%	8.1%	62
10 to19 yrs		16.9%	73.2%	9.9%	71
20 yrs & over		18.5%	49.3%	32.2%	205
•					

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

25. Arts and crafts facilities?

	Percentage of Respondents				
	Too many	About <u>right</u>	Not Enough	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	1.2%	25.8%	35.5%	37.6%	434
Gender					
Male	1.5%	28.6%	28.1%	41.7%	199
Female	.9%	23.4%	41.7%	34.0%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	3.6%	36.4%	40.0%	20.0%	55
25 to 34 yrs	1.1%	26.3%	45.3%	27.4%	95
35 to 44 yrs		33.8%	39.7%	26.5%	68
45 to 54 yrs		25.6%	45.1%	29.3%	82
55 to 64 yrs	4.7%	27.9%	20.9%	46.5%	43
65 yrs & over		12.1%	17.6%	70.3%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12		42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	7
HS diploma-GED		29.3%	42.1%	28.6%	133
Some post HS	3.1%	35.4%	43.1%	18.5%	65
College graduate	1.8%	27.0%	40.5%	30.7%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000		24.4%	48.8%	26.8%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999		33.8%	44.1%	22.1%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	2.4%	28.6%	45.2%	23.8%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999		34.4%	37.5%	28.1%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999		25.0%	34.6%	40.4%	52
\$75,000 & over		32.1%	41.1%	26.8%	56
Children in household					
No children	1.3%	24.1%	28.4%	46.2%	299
Children	.7%	29.6%	51.1%	18.5%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington	3.7%	24.1%	46.3%	25.9%	54
CS Porter		25.0%	57.7%	17.3%	52
Meadow Hill	2.4%	31.0%	35.7%	31.0%	42
Rattlesnake		20.5%	46.2%	33.3%	39
Target Range		57.1%	21.4%	21.4%	14
Hellgate		33.3%	41.7%	25.0%	36
Other		33.3%	46.7%	20.0%	30
Residence	4.00/	00.00/	07 404	00.00/	204
City	1.2%	28.3%	37.4%	33.0%	321
County	1.3%	24.7%	42.9%	31.2%	77
Rent or Own	00/	07.70/	40.00/	0.4 = 0.4	005
Own	.9%	27.7%	40.0%	31.5%	235
Rent	2.1%	30.8%	39.7%	27.4%	146
Years in Missoula		00.00/	07.40/	20.00/	00
Less than 5 yrs	4 00/	33.3%	27.1%	39.6%	96 63
5 to 9 yrs	4.8%	22.6%	40.3%	32.3%	62 71
10 to 19 yrs	4.00/	23.9%	50.7%	25.4%	71 205
20 yrs & over	1.0%	23.9%	32.7%	42.4%	205

Appendix 1
USE1: About how many times in total did you use the trail system in the last year?

	Percentage of Respondents				
		1-5	6-20	Over 20	
	<u>None</u>	<u>times</u>	<u>times</u>	<u>times</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	37.6%	14.1%	18.4%	30.0%	434
Gender					
Male	34.2%	13.6%	18.6%	33.7%	199
Female	40.4%	14.5%	18.3%	26.8%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	25.5%	18.2%	20.0%	36.4%	55
25 to 34 yrs	25.3%	14.7%	23.2%	36.8%	95
35 to 44 yrs	26.5%	7.4%	23.5%	42.6%	68
45 to 54 yrs	25.6%	18.3%	20.7%	35.4%	82
55 to 64 yrs	44.2%	25.6%	14.0%	16.3%	43
65 yrs & over	73.6%	6.6%	8.8%	11.0%	91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	7
HS diploma-GED	45.9%	15.0%	24.1%	15.0%	133
Some post HS	26.2%	21.5%	15.4%	36.9%	65
College graduate	16.0%	14.1%	19.0%	50.9%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	34.1%	14.6%	22.0%	29.3%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	23.5%	17.6%	17.6%	41.2%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	19.0%	23.8%	16.7%	40.5%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	31.3%	15.6%	21.9%	31.3%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	25.0%	15.4%	25.0%	34.6%	52
\$75,000 & over	21.4%	12.5%	21.4%	44.6%	56
Children in household					
No children	40.8%	12.4%	17.7%	29.1%	299
Children	30.4%	17.8%	20.0%	31.9%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington	31.5%	13.0%	14.8%	40.7%	54
CS Porter	34.6%	17.3%	11.5%	36.5%	52
Meadow Hill	31.0%	23.8%	21.4%	23.8%	42
Rattlesnake	17.9%	10.3%	20.5%	51.3%	39
Target Range	28.6%	21.4%	35.7%	14.3%	14
Hellgate	38.9%	16.7%	25.0%	19.4%	36
Other	23.3%	16.7%	23.3%	36.7%	30
Residence					
City	30.2%	14.6%	17.8%	37.4%	321
County	44.2%	18.2%	24.7%	13.0%	77
Rent or Own					
Own	29.8%	15.7%	19.6%	34.9%	235
Rent	30.8%	15.1%	21.2%	32.9%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs	29.2%	14.6%	19.8%	36.5%	96
5 to 9 yrs	22.6%	12.9%	22.6%	41.9%	62
10 to19 yrs	23.9%	9.9%	23.9%	42.3%	71
20 yrs & over	50.7%	15.6%	14.6%	19.0%	205

Appendix 1
USE2: About how many times in total did you use the parks for passive activities in the last year?

	Per				
		1-5	6-20	Over 20	
	<u>None</u>	<u>times</u>	<u>times</u>	<u>times</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	32.7%	23.5%	23.7%	20.0%	434
Gender					
Male	29.1%	22.6%	25.6%	22.6%	199
Female	35.7%	24.3%	22.1%	17.9%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	14.5%	29.1%	18.2%	38.2%	55
25 to 34 yrs	25.3%	23.2%	23.2%	28.4%	95
35 to 44 yrs	27.9%	17.6%	30.9%	23.5%	68
45 to 54 yrs	15.9%	31.7%	34.1%	18.3%	82
55 to 64 yrs	30.2%	34.9%	20.9%	14.0%	43
65 yrs & over	71.4%	12.1%	14.3%	2.2%	91
Educational					
attainment					_
Grades 1-12	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	7
HS diploma-GED	24.1%	27.8%	29.3%	18.8%	133
Some post HS	26.2%	23.1%	23.1%	27.7%	65
College graduate	20.2%	27.6%	26.4%	25.8%	163
Household					
Income in 2003	00.00/	0.4.70/	04.40/	4.4.007	4.4
Less than \$15,000	29.3%	31.7%	24.4%	14.6%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	17.6%	27.9%	22.1%	32.4%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	9.5%	28.6%	33.3%	28.6%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	28.1%	21.9%	34.4%	15.6%	32
\$50,000-\$74,999	26.9%	26.9%	30.8%	15.4%	52
\$75,000 & over	19.6%	32.1%	23.2%	25.0%	56
Children in household	20.00/	04.40/	20.40/	40.70/	200
No children	38.8%	24.1%	20.4%	16.7%	299
Children	19.3%	22.2%	31.1%	27.4%	135
Neighborhood	20.4%	31.5%	24.1%	24.1%	54
Washington CS Porter	32.7%	23.1%	19.2%	24.1% 25.0%	
Meadow Hill	32.7% 11.9%	23.1% 33.3%	19.2% 35.7%	25.0% 19.0%	52 42
Rattlesnake	15.4%	20.5%	41.0%	23.1%	39
Target Range	28.6%	35.7%	21.4%	14.3%	14
Hellgate	27.8%	30.6%	25.0%	16.7%	36
Other	23.3%	26.7%	16.7%	33.3%	30
Residence	23.370	20.7 /0	10.7 70	33.370	30
City	27.4%	24.3%	24.0%	24.3%	321
County	28.6%	31.2%	29.9%	10.4%	77
Rent or Own	20.070	31.270	25.570	10.470	, ,
Own	25.1%	27.7%	26.4%	20.9%	235
Rent	24.7%	24.0%	26.0%	25.3%	146
Years in Missoula	21.170	21.070	20.070	20.070	170
Less than 5 yrs	22.9%	30.2%	24.0%	22.9%	96
5 to 9 yrs	24.2%	11.3%	33.9%	30.6%	62
10 to 19 yrs	15.5%	22.5%	22.5%	39.4%	71
20 yrs & over	45.9%	24.4%	21.0%	8.8%	205
=0 310 a 0101	10.070	∠ 1. ⊤ /∪	21.070	0.070	200

Appendix 1
USE3: About how many times in total did you use the parks for team sports in the last year?

	Percentage of Respondents 1-5 6-20			Over 20	
	<u>None</u>	times	times	times	<u>N</u>
All respondents	83.2%	1.4%	9.0%	6.5%	434
Gender					
Male	79.4%	1.0%	11.1%	8.5%	199
Female	86.4%	1.7%	7.2%	4.7%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	78.2%	1.8%	12.7%	7.3%	55
25 to 34 yrs	74.7%	1.1%	14.7%	9.5%	95
35 to 44 yrs	75.0%	1.5%	10.3%	13.2%	68
45 to 54 yrs	85.4%	2.4%	4.9%	7.3%	82
55 to 64 yrs	86.0%		14.0%		43
65 yrs & over	97.8%	1.1%	1.1%		91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12	100.0%				7
HS diploma-GED	86.5%		9.8%	3.8%	133
Some post HS	78.5%	3.1%	12.3%	6.2%	65
College graduate	75.5%	2.5%	10.4%	11.7%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	80.5%	4.9%	12.2%	2.4%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	76.5%	1.5%	14.7%	7.4%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	83.3%		11.9%	4.8%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	90.6%		9.4%		32
\$50,000-\$74,999	73.1%	1.9%	9.6%	15.4%	52
\$75,000 & over	73.2%	1.8%	8.9%	16.1%	56
Children in household					
No children	88.0%	.7%	8.0%	3.3%	299
Children	72.6%	3.0%	11.1%	13.3%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington	74.1%	1.9%	13.0%	11.1%	54
CS Porter	80.8%		11.5%	7.7%	52
Meadow Hill	73.8%	0.00/	11.9%	14.3%	42
Rattlesnake	82.1%	2.6%	10.3%	5.1%	39
Target Range	78.6%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	14
Hellgate	83.3%	0.00/	11.1%	5.6%	36
Other	76.7%	3.3%	10.0%	10.0%	30
Residence	04.00/	4.00/	40.00/	7.50/	204
City	81.0%	1.6%	10.0%	7.5%	321
County	87.0%	1.3%	6.5%	5.2%	77
Rent or Own	02.40/	00/	7.7%	8.1%	225
Own Rent	83.4% 77.4%	.9% 2.7%	7.7% 13.7%	6.2%	235 146
	11.470	2.170	13.770	0.270	140
Years in Missoula Less than 5 yrs	85.4%	3.1%	7.3%	4.2%	96
5 to 9 yrs	79.0%	J. 170	7.3% 9.7%	4.2% 11.3%	62
10 to 19 yrs	79.0% 78.9%	1.4%	9.7%	9.9%	71
20 yrs & over	76.9% 84.9%	1.4%	9.9% 9.3%	9.9% 4.9%	205
ZU yis a uvei	04.970	1.0 /0	9.570	4.3/0	205

Appendix 1
USE4: About how many times in total did you use the park system for individual sports in the last year?

	Percentage of Respondents 1-5 6-20 Over 20					
	<u>None</u>	<u>times</u>	<u>times</u>	<u>times</u>	<u>N</u>	
All respondents	72.4%	6.9%	11.3%	9.4%	434	
Gender						
Male	69.8%	7.5%	11.1%	11.6%	199	
Female	74.5%	6.4%	11.5%	7.7%	235	
Age						
18 to 24 yrs	49.1%	14.5%	16.4%	20.0%	55	
25 to 34 yrs	64.2%	10.5%	15.8%	9.5%	95	
35 to 44 yrs	70.6%	4.4%	13.2%	11.8%	68	
45 to 54 yrs	67.1%	7.3%	15.9%	9.8%	82	
55 to 64 yrs	81.4%	2.3%	7.0%	9.3%	43	
65 yrs & over	96.7%	2.2%		1.1%	91	
Educational attainment						
Grades 1-12	57.1%	14.3%		28.6%	7	
HS diploma-GED	74.4%	6.8%	13.5%	5.3%	133	
Some post HS	72.3%	3.1%	13.8%	10.8%	65	
College graduate	60.7%	10.4%	13.5%	15.3%	163	
Household						
Income in 2003						
Less than \$15,000	68.3%	7.3%	17.1%	7.3%	41	
\$15,000-\$29,999	63.2%	4.4%	17.6%	14.7%	68	
\$30,000-\$39,999	59.5%	9.5%	16.7%	14.3%	42	
\$40,000-\$49,999	65.6%	18.8%	9.4%	6.3%	32	
\$50,000-\$74,999	71.2%	5.8%	11.5%	11.5%	52	
\$75,000 & over	55.4%	14.3%	16.1%	14.3%	56	
Children in household						
No children	74.9%	6.0%	9.4%	9.7%	299	
Children	66.7%	8.9%	15.6%	8.9%	135	
Neighborhood						
Washington	64.8%	9.3%	11.1%	14.8%	54	
CS Porter	65.4%	9.6%	13.5%	11.5%	52	
Meadow Hill	66.7%	7.1%	19.0%	7.1%	42	
Rattlesnake	59.0%	10.3%	15.4%	15.4%	39	
Target Range	50.0%	28.6%	14.3%	7.1%	14	
Hellgate	63.9%	11.1%	13.9%	11.1%	36	
Other	63.3%	6.7%	13.3%	16.7%	30	
Residence						
City	69.8%	7.8%	11.5%	10.9%	321	
County	70.1%	6.5%	15.6%	7.8%	77	
Rent or Own						
Own	70.2%	7.2%	13.2%	9.4%	235	
Rent	66.4%	8.2%	12.3%	13.0%	146	
Years in Missoula						
Less than 5 yrs	66.7%	12.5%	11.5%	9.4%	96	
5 to 9 yrs	58.1%	8.1%	17.7%	16.1%	62	
10 to19 yrs	63.4%	7.0%	12.7%	16.9%	71	
20 yrs & over	82.4%	3.9%	8.8%	4.9%	205	

Appendix 1
USE5: About how many times in total did you use the park system for outdoor recreation in the last year?

	Per				
		1-5	6-20	Over 20	
	<u>None</u>	<u>times</u>	<u>times</u>	<u>times</u>	<u>N</u>
All respondents	70.7%	13.4%	11.1%	4.8%	434
Gender					
Male	67.3%	13.6%	13.6%	5.5%	199
Female	73.6%	13.2%	8.9%	4.3%	235
Age					
18 to 24 yrs	61.8%	14.5%	14.5%	9.1%	55
25 to 34 yrs	55.8%	20.0%	16.8%	7.4%	95
35 to 44 yrs	67.6%	11.8%	14.7%	5.9%	68
45 to 54 yrs	62.2%	22.0%	11.0%	4.9%	82
55 to 64 yrs	81.4%	7.0%	9.3%	2.3%	43
65 yrs & over	96.7%	2.2%	1.1%		91
Educational					
attainment					
Grades 1-12	71.4%		28.6%		7
HS diploma-GED	70.7%	13.5%	9.8%	6.0%	133
Some post HS	60.0%	18.5%	15.4%	6.2%	65
College graduate	65.0%	16.0%	14.1%	4.9%	163
Household					
Income in 2003					
Less than \$15,000	78.0%	7.3%	9.8%	4.9%	41
\$15,000-\$29,999	55.9%	22.1%	14.7%	7.4%	68
\$30,000-\$39,999	59.5%	16.7%	11.9%	11.9%	42
\$40,000-\$49,999	65.6%	9.4%	25.0%		32
\$50,000-\$74,999	61.5%	19.2%	13.5%	5.8%	52
\$75,000 & over	66.1%	16.1%	12.5%	5.4%	56
Children in household					
No children	76.6%	9.4%	9.0%	5.0%	299
Children	57.8%	22.2%	15.6%	4.4%	135
Neighborhood					
Washington	70.4%	11.1%	14.8%	3.7%	54
CS Porter	69.2%	17.3%	11.5%	1.9%	52
Meadow Hill	71.4%	11.9%	14.3%	2.4%	42
Rattlesnake	66.7%	20.5%	5.1%	7.7%	39
Target Range	50.0%	21.4%	28.6%		14
Hellgate	55.6%	22.2%	16.7%	5.6%	36
Other	63.3%	10.0%	13.3%	13.3%	30
Residence					
City	70.1%	12.8%	12.1%	5.0%	321
County	61.0%	20.8%	11.7%	6.5%	77
Rent or Own					
Own	67.2%	17.0%	11.5%	4.3%	235
Rent	67.8%	11.0%	13.7%	7.5%	146
Years in Missoula					
Less than 5 yrs	60.4%	15.6%	17.7%	6.3%	96
5 to 9 yrs	64.5%	16.1%	11.3%	8.1%	62
10 to19 yrs	69.0%	18.3%	8.5%	4.2%	71
20 yrs & over	78.0%	9.8%	8.8%	3.4%	205

TAX: For the facilities that you think there should be more of, would you generally support or oppose an increase in property taxes to provide them?

	Percentage of Respondents					
	<u>Support</u>	<u>Oppose</u>	<u>DK</u>	<u>N</u>		
All respondents	60.9%	31.8%	7.3%	434		
Gender						
Male	56.2%	34.6%	9.3%	199		
Female	65.2%	29.3%	5.5%	235		
Age						
18 to 24 yrs	62.8%	27.9%	9.3%	55		
25 to 34 yrs	72.6%	21.4%	6.0%	95		
35 to 44 yrs	73.3%	26.7%		68		
45 to 54 yrs	66.2%	29.6%	4.2%	82		
55 to 64 yrs	28.6%	60.0%	11.4%	43		
65 yrs & over	40.0%	42.0%	18.0%	91		
Educational						
attainment						
Grades 1-12	25.0%	75.0%		7		
HS diploma-GED	53.8%	43.6%	2.6%	133		
Some post HS	58.2%	34.5%	7.3%	65		
College graduate	74.3%	22.3%	3.4%	163		
Household						
Income in 2003						
Less than \$15,000	54.1%	37.8%	8.1%	41		
\$15,000-\$29,999	63.3%	31.7%	5.0%	68		
\$30,000-\$39,999	64.9%	35.1%	4.4.407	42		
\$40,000-\$49,999	66.7%	22.2%	11.1%	32		
\$50,000-\$74,999	83.0%	14.9%	2.1%	52		
\$75,000 & over	58.8%	39.2%	2.0%	56		
Children in household	55.00 /	05.00/	0.40/	000		
No children	55.6%	35.0%	9.4%	299		
Children	70.8%	25.8%	3.3%	135		
Neighborhood	02.00/	44.00/	0.40/	F.4		
Washington	83.0%	14.9%	2.1%	54 52		
CS Porter	66.0%	34.0%	E 40/	52		
Meadow Hill Rattlesnake	48.6% 54.3%	45.9% 40.0%	5.4% 5.7%	42 39		
	46.2%	53.8%	3.7 /0	14		
Target Range	74.2%	25.8%		36		
Hellgate Other	60.0%	40.0%		30		
Residence	00.076	40.070		30		
City	67.3%	28.5%	4.2%	321		
County	47.1%	51.5%	1.5%	77		
Rent or Own	77.170	31.370	1.570	, ,		
Own	60.0%	38.0%	2.0%	235		
Rent	69.4%	24.0%	6.6%	146		
Years in Missoula	JJ. + /J	∠ -T.U /U	0.070	170		
Less than 5 yrs	67.1%	25.3%	7.6%	96		
5 to 9 yrs	64.2%	28.3%	7.5%	62		
10 to 19 yrs	63.9%	31.1%	4.9%	71		
20 yrs & over	55.3%	36.7%	8.0%	205		
=0 310 a 0101	33.070	00.1 /0	0.070	200		

Appendix 1

Comparison of Sample with 2000 Census for Missoula CCD

	<u>Sample</u>	<u>Census</u>
Number	434	71,356
Gender		
Male	46%	49%
Female	54%	51%
Age		
18 to 24 yrs	13%	23%
25 to 34 yrs	22%	19%
35 to 44 yrs	16%	18%
45 to 54 yrs	19%	18%
55 to 64 yrs	10%	9%
65 yrs & over	21%	13%
Educational attainment		
(25 yrs & older)		
Grades 1-12	1%	9%
HS diploma-GED	35%	24%
Some post HS	15%	31%
College graduate	48%	36%
Household		
Income in 2003		
Less than \$15,000	14%	22%
\$15,000-\$29,999	23%	25%
\$30,000-\$39,999	14%	13%
\$40,000-\$49,999	11%	10%
\$50,000-\$74,999	18%	17%
\$75,000 & over	19%	13%
Residence		
City	74%	79%
County	26%	21%

APPENDIX 2 OTHER COMMENTS

COMMENTS

Would only support an increase in property tax for outdoor swimming pool

Would love to see a really nice swim facility with integrated passive rest areas and lawn or benches for people to sit on when their watching their kids. Also have different sized pools for the children of all ages. Lots of trees would be good as well

Would like to see the river front left like it is for public access

Would like to see more bike trails

Would like to see a civic center. Plenty of parks in Missoula.

Would like to have a neighbor park in the Orchard Homes area

Would like more bike trails to make it safer

Wish we could link the trails together more, for safer ways to commute.

Wish they wouldn't make so many rules. Think they need to stop making a rule about when you can climb Mount Jumbo need to keep public informed about votes for things

Wish there were more

What we have is good here in Missoula.

We spend enough money on them as it is

We really need to get better parks, they're terrible, there's not nearly enough places for me to take my son

We need more open space before it's all developed,

Used trails for cross country skiing

Urban bike path, more dangerous to walk and bike ride during busy times.

To him they look fine

Think they could do better x doesn't understand where fees go to

Think the Parks are well run buy the parks department with the funding they receive

They need to make the picnic areas with more shade so there's not as much sun in the evening time They need to limit schools from using park areas for play grounds. Need to monitor wading pools and get good guidance for adults about them. The wading pools need to be maintained better. All dogs must wear a leash law. Need more passive areas. Need more

They need to get rid of the weed infestation because it's causing problems, especially Spartan, the dandelions get all over and into my lawn.

They need to be maintained better

They do a great job keep up the good work

They do a bang up job for the limited budget they have.

They've done a wonderful job, I can remember when there was nothing down there, maintenance is important. More sculptures along river front park would be nice.

They're nice

There should be a trail connecting out to the Bitterroot valley area and leading into Missoula by Buck House Bridge

There are a lot of parks that we have and need to use money maintaining them so every one can use them and not spend more on things like open space and MT Jumbo which no one can use. The facilities in the parks need to be better maintained.

The weeds and maintenance x opposed to buying more land like on Mt Jumbo x

The park near her house is really skuzzy all it has is swings and bball courts its by the YMCA

The open space concept of the parks

The new aquatic center sounds like a good idea to me.

The Missoula parks need to be maintained all year long

The Missoula parks need better maintenance done on them. Maybe use prisoners to clean then dress them in pink so they won't go any where.

The grass needs to be taken care of better get rid of the thistles in the parks

The city spends way to much money on things like this we would prefer you don't call again

The bikes should be more regulated there should be a training course about bike safety and use, the bikers should respect the other people on the trails more and there should be a limit on how fast they can ride their bikes.

Support anything that will improve the parks system because that helps improve the integrity of the neighborhoods their in

Something available when weather is good to get seniors out more day and nights along the river trails or parks

Satis4 If going to renovate or put in a park (like water park on Mullan) need to assume it will take some time and secure the site adequately for safety of children curious about what is going on. Favor1 Doesn't have a favorite park because he doesn't h

Really like the mt sentinal, mt jumbo what they done with it. More in and out closer to town on the Clark Fork river

Really like having the parks for everything

Pretty happy with them

Pave more trails for skating

Parks Dept are doing great job with the resources they have I would love to see more large scale parks like Rattlesnake and McCormick on the valley floor if possible. Really want an indoor pool Overall there fantastic

Overall the parks are above average but need some improvements and additions I.e. More supervision, perhaps in the summer have more cops riding by on bikes or SUV's so people at the parks feel safer Overall it is good but could be better, there's not as much as I would like for smaller children to do in them, and I wish there were less homeless people in the Caras Park, but that is it, overall I really do like the Missoula parks, they are very nice.

Not enough for children to do.

None that I can say cause it wouldn't help to tell you about them

No, we really like them, get a lot of use of them, we go to a lot of them especially since we had our son.

No, seen an improvement Linda Vista, Mariln Park is wonderful

No, pretty please with it. Some part could use attention, lawn end of summer.

No, just happy

No, I love it think it would be a shame for the city not to invest more money into it. Team sports Ultimate Frisbee 6-20 times

No fairly adequate and were happy with them so far

Needs more handicap accessibility

Needs a lot of help more funding

Need to upgrade the public pools

Need to take care of the weeds

Need to keep the dogs better organized.

Need to extend bike trails further west

Need to be open to the public later

Need to be less wasteful when building and maintaining them.

Need some bathrooms on the trail system like Perry Trail.

Need more parks on the west side

Need more park areas the choo choo train park in Bonner

Need more maintenance funding x need more equestrian connections x providing good parks in areas that don't have them and planning for parks in areas to be developed

Need more enforcement of dog regulations x More cleanup after dogs

Need more indoor hockey rinks

Need more facilities for youth and more areas for dogs to be free from leashes

Need more commuter trails, its dangerous riding on the roads Use 3A Ultimate Frisbee

Need more bike trails

Need better children facilities

Need a civic center in Missoula for community events(the arts), instead of having to rely on the university system to hold these events, many towns of the same size and smaller have these types of buildings

More strict control of dogs maybe even ban them in some parks have some parks that are for dogs and some that are not for dogs. More barbeque pits as well and more parks with covered pavilions. Would like to see an all encompassing park as well with a

More in South Hills south of 39th Street

More for teens to do X

More "fun runs" organized runs in parks and on trails.

Missoula has done a great job as far as the number of parks but now is the time to keep up on the litter problem, esp. On the river banks and such.

Maintenance needs to be a little better

Maintaining and supporting the pools and other things for kids to do

Maybe have more info about then more direction signs

Love the trees in the fall the beautiful colors are just wonderful she paints art work of what she sees Love the parks

Like to see them put baskets on all the Folf holes,

Like to see more things for teens to do, more projects, some access to Mt. Jumbo in the winter time.

Promote some more cross country skiing in the parks.

Like to see more skating Parks.

Like to see better turf managements soil compaction and irrigation

Like to dog leash law more enforced on the river trail and city wide.

Like the Rattlesnake Park trail system

Like the natural aspect of Missoula

Last time I played tennis the courts were deteriorating badly. Need to fix the pool at McCormick. It would be nice to acquire more land around the baseball stadium for park space.

Keep up the good work!

Keep up on the litter

Just so access to the parks for kids and child safety in the parks is a god thing.

Just glad there here

It's Wonderful!

Indoor pool

In memorial rose park and along Kim Williams trail they have those metal dog boxes for cleaning up after the dog with plastic bags for dog poop, I think that's great and they should have those in more of the parks.

In favor of having a nice developed park that has many amenities such as paved trails (their good for people with strollers), sports fields, playgrounds and sitting areas

I think you guys should designate an area for remote control air planes, for flying them. There's not a really good place to do that.

I think we have sufficient parks and activities in Missoula.

I really think there should be more indoor swimming pools.

I live in an apartment and the park by it doesn't have anything to do it's just a sandbox, so I don't think it should be allowed to be called a park.

I like them. Most parks are 15 minutes away. At least we have what we have.

I like the Missoula parks.

I enjoy it

I don't use the parks

I do not agree with closing MT Jumbo during the winter. Leash laws need to be enforced or taken off the books. Dogs being unleashed in the parks is a problem. The most important things that Missoula needs to do is have an enclosed ice rink. Every public

I believe they're doing a pretty good job. Taxes: Missoula property taxes are already so high land is way overvalued. I don't know how to fund more parks.

I've lived in several states in the past few years, and this system holds its own

Have never used them Where are the parks in Missoula?

Have more nature trails. Nice to have a place to take dogs without having to be on a leash

Generally would like to see the riverfront developed on both sides

Franklin park is in a lower income neighborhood a lot of undesirables and teenage partiers hang out there it needs more patrols for a safer environment.

Feel very strongly for a community system for active and passive performances. Upgrade to the aquatic facilities

Favor1 Doesn't have a favorite it depends on what you are going to do Fac11 Outdoor swimming or wading pools? Need more small swimming pools so kids don't have to be driven to them. Great thing for a kid is to get on a bike and go to the swimming pool

Facility 17 not identified well enough

Expand the river front trail and connect it up and extend it especially for bicycle riders. Think they could go quite a ways west with it. I've been to a lot of places that have a lot of trail systems. Missoula has the most extensive trail system in a small city

Don't want a baseball field

Don't use very often

Don't go overboard creating too many parks otherwise you will lose what Montana is: open spaces and natural beauty

Don't use parks much so hard to say

Don't know about the parks

Don't build any new facilities until you can maintain what you have. Fac8 The parks shouldn't be providing exercise or fitness equipment. Perhaps in one park as a class.

Doing a great job

DOGS!!! Dogs and dogs

Depends on how much tax increase is

City does a good job need more resources, the county is users pay system and the county parks could really use some upgrades

Better signs in the park for trails and reminders for dog people

Better maintenance of the open fields

Bark for more, what they have is good, don't use them too much but observation is positive.

Access to Blue Mountain is difficult by car or bike from town. The one way bridge, McClay Bridge really concerns me. Not enough owners pick up after their dogs. There are not enough clean picnic facilities. In general, I think Parks and Rec does a good job

A Petting Zoo

Other reasons do not use parks more

Younger aged play equipment

You go to certain parks for certain things and can find just about anything you're looking for. So in that sense i don't think there's anything missing.

When you go to the park with children and they have to go to the bathroom and there are no bathrooms then we just have to come home. When the bathrooms are locked in the spring and the fall it's the same as not having one.

Trails in the rattlesnake where the side trails break off from the main trail are not well marked so it makes it hard to hike up there and know where you're going and not get lost. We got off the main trail in saw mill gulch area and didn't know it and g

Things for babies

The bathrooms & water fountains.

Swings and merry go rounds, picnic tables and slides, used to seeing more playground equipment Sometimes the restrooms are not open and sometimes there are no restrooms and there are drinking fountains

Something more than just a place to go and hang out in

Some parks that are more geared for older vs. Younger

Size not large enough, not enough of every types of parks

Pretty much everything including clean bathrooms

Playgrounds, tennis courts & picnic tables.

Play features in some

Pave more of the trails

Parks, trees

Park lights & bathrooms

No complex for children in Mullan road area

No baby swings in some of the parks

Nicer quality park area, parks that are more all inclusive like the one they were going to build at ft Missoula. ponds for swimming would be good

Need running water for the parks systems water fountains and bathrooms with running water

Need more for bigger kids to do

Need more areas for baseball

Need cleaner bathrooms and better water fountains

Multi use area- improved

Most everything

More water fountains all year long

More varieties of equipment for kids with newer equipment

More toys for children

More swimming pools

More picnic tables or benches for sitting

More overall play area multipurpose area

More children oriented

More basketball courts

More activity areas

Maintenance nothing in a lot of the spaces like tables

Lights for more safety. And doggie bags to encourage people to pick up after their dogs. Have more consideration for other people using the park.

Lighting and toilet facilities

Lighted playing facilities for youth sports , multiple use fields , fields trails and playgrounds are not all integrated together

Less stuff

Lack of maintenance in regard to dogs

It's not that the restroom facilities are so bad, it's that they're trashed usually.

I think it would be really cool - having a fireside chat with a brochure that says the Sunday of every month we have a geologist or a birder so we become more knowledgeable about our natural surroundings. I worked in glacier and we had those all the time

I'd like to see a water park, a white water deal if they ever get that dam out. I'd like to see more skate board facilities.

Has a child who has played state all-star baseball and there is only one women's stall that has a door and there is no paper and no towels, located in Clawson complex where little griz and all little league baseball games are played.

Handicapped restrooms

Greenough park had a slide and jungle gym and were taken out. If a park is supposed to have play equipment it should be maintained in working order.

Franklin park needs equipment for smaller children

Fountains/wading pools

Feel like they can be more child friendly

Enforcement of some of the laws, like there is people that paint gun and folfers bicycles

Doesn't have time works all the time

Children playground stuff

Children's parks are dirty and worn down all the parks are filthy

Certain sport activities, more basketball and tennis courts and volley ball

Booths & equipment sheds

Bland

Better equipment for children & better restrooms

Bathrooms x drinking fountains maybe

Bathrooms and the maintenance well not maintenance but people should pick up their junk

Away from busy streets

A community center for arts and entertainment. Better aquatic facilities

OTHER PARK FACILITIES

Young adult activity centers Ages 18 to 23

With Missoula growing I hope they keep some areas opened

We need an enclosed ice skating rink. It's the highest thing on my list.

Velladrome

Use area by McCormick park to build a culture center devoted to museums, science exploratory museum mindful development on the western hills out of town.

This is Montana, if you want to do something, go out and do it.

Things to keep kids active

Things for teenagers to do

There should be more public chess tables

Stuff for young people to do that is free

Standing wave for kayaking, more bike lanes on the roads

Something for indoor activities for elementary age children like the children's museum

Shakespeare in the park

Recreation for seniors including dances

Really need some dog areas

Race tracks for children

Race track or drag strip

Public shooting range, target ranges

Public meeting place open for public use

Place to fly planes

City wide arena so wouldn't have to rely on University for everything

Place for singles to meet

Pavilions for 50th anniversary parties for weddings, large gatherings that can be rented

Parks in general Pave more trails, more playground facilities more recreations less bums hanging out Outdoor movie theater

Outdoor learning centers for school children, with college also

Our parks have the facilities in general but maintenance is really lacking. Unless you belong to the Y there's no gym to play basketball in in winter unless you're lucky enough to be in high school sports. And there's no pool unless you belong to a health club

Not enough park benches. And parking

Not enough horseshoe pits

Not enough for teens to do

Need nightlights for basketball courts

Need more indoor arena for horse people

Need more garbage receptacles.

Not enough natural areas in urban parks.

Need more emphasis on skate parks.

Need a multipurpose building that would draw conventions to Missoula

Need a huge park along the river

Need more indoor tennis courts

Multi use convention centers...ice skating

Much better network of commuter trails for people biking to work, more dedicated trails for both mechanized users and walkers, better connection between forest trails and city trails-ie a better connection to Pattee Canvon

More youth centers

More walking trails

More to offer junior high and high school age

More things for younger people

More things along the lines of the children's museum

More thing for children too do

More tennis courts

More skating parks and soccer fields

More space for skate boarding.

Trail system still doesn't seem to be completely linked up. I can't even imagine trying to go south of the river on a trail system.

More skate parks

More public art

More pools out toward Frenchtown

More places where a field could be frozen for kids to ice skate, like McCormick.

More peaceful reading and wildlife areas

More outdoor swimming pools

More neighborhood accessories for South hills area.

More mtn. Bike trails x more dog trails

More moto-cross trails and parks

More maintained trails

More land to build more facilities for all especially children

More indoor gyms free

More indoor facilities x swimming for public

More indoor

More ice skating rinks.

More horse shoe pits

More handicap accessibility pertaining to pools, rivers, parks, pavement, campgrounds

More green space and natural trails

More gathering places

More gardens

More for young people to give them other options than drugs and such

More for older folks

More focus on Missoula children's museum

More covered activities places in all the parks

More campgrounds

More biking trails, more dog specific trails not enough swimming facilities

More benches a

More batting cages

More and better restrooms

Miniature golf course

Limited times along the rivers where dog can roam without leases when not many people around.

Lights at the softball field Covered areas for children to play

Lighted tennis courts x more Frisbee golf courses

Kids with disabilities should have ways of participating in the parks, more opportunities for the people

living in busy areas, like the elderly on reserve

Kids indoor activities

Kids' stuff (need something to do)

Interconnected trail systems and separate bike trails, bridge over the river by Kim Williams

Indoor swimming pools

Indoor swimming pool would be good, a public onex would be cool if msla had a hockey team

Indoor soccer field

Indoor pools important

Indoor playground for small people in winter

Indoor play areas for young children

Indoor facilities for like soccer X

Imax amusement park area

Ice skating rinks indoor and indoor swimming

Ice rink

I don't think there's enough picnic shelters. Where I grew up every park had one. There was an abundance of them.

Folf course in town

Facility for kids 14-21 something not sure of what

Equestrian trails

Entertainment during the winter months for children

Developed velodrome, bicycle racing facility

Convention center, arts performance center,

Continue trail after crosses river

Climbing walls

Climbing walls

Civic center

Better trail markings where your going and which one your on

Better maintenance and landscaping

Barbecues in picnic areas.

Another dog park and more rest areas on trails and at the dog park need less expensive golf courses for beginners

All year ice skating rink

All purpose community center/events center for the [public

A whole common area.

A Major multipurpose area

OTHER ADULT ACTIVITIES

Wading pools

Used to have an outdoor archery target at the fort. Not maintained and needs to be there.

Tubing in the Clark Fork

The homeless people with children around, young teenagers around caras park

The city does the best they can. It's mushrooming.

Taking pictures

Taking my dog to the bark park.

Swimming dogs in the river

Swimming

Swimming

She don't use the parks or trails cause she works all the time and has no time to but what she has seen from a glance they look fine

Seem they look good from a distance

See the leash laws enforced more in parks

See the "Brennans wave" come in and the white water rodeo park come in

Rock climbing

Practice music there

Plays ultimate Frisbee 3xper week

Out to lunch in Caras park

Off leash parks for dogs

Observe outdoor things

Not really any nice grassy picnic areas by the river.

No i think we need an inside arena to keep kids active in the winter time, like baseball field,

No don't have to worry about crime in the parks like in the big cities

Need to consolidate parks so there are less but better maintained

Need more fishing access in town , a launch for boats and rafts, x rid parks of panhandlers

Mountain biking and birding and plant identification

More people moving in, hope continue to set aside areas for public parks wildlife issue feeding

More fishing access for the family

More covered area,

Like more trash receptacles, more mutt mitts to pick up dogs duties x

Kept up pretty good and nice

Just walking for good health and nature

Just hung out by the river

Just a place to relax

Jogging

Inner-tubing

I take the kids to the park.

Horseback riding

Horse shoes

Frisbee

Drum class

Concerts in Bonner park and Caras park for their events

Concern with illegal/questionable activity with west side park by lowell school. Expand on bike trails to

reduce pollution and more exercise

Birthday party, treasure hunts

Birthday parties

Bird watching, animal watching, wildlife

Bird watched, walking around

OTHER CHILDREN ACTIVITIES

Ultimate Frisbee

Swinging

Slides

Playgrounds t-ball

Playgrounds pools wading pools

Playgrounds

Playground and walking trails

Playground

Playground

Playground

Playground

Playground

Play

Parks and rec program (teaches kids how to play games)

Kids in action

Playgrounds

Kids in action

Kids in action

Folf

Dance

Arts

APPENDIX 3: QUESTIONNAIRE

INTRODUCTION

Hello, my name is _____ and I am calling from The University of Montana in Missoula, Montana. We are doing an important survey about the use and condition of Missoula Area Parks.

First, though, I need to be sure I have dialed the right number. Is this 999-9999?

In order to do the survey, I have to follow a specific selection procedure. For this survey only persons aged 18 and older are to be interviewed. So of all the people living in your household, including yourself, how many are 18 years of age and older? ENTER NUMBER

And how many of these persons are female? ENTER NUMBER

According to the selection procedure, I need to interview _____. Is he/she available? Or is that you?

IF R NOTAVAILABLE. MAKE APPOINTMENT

READ THE FOLLOWING CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT TO ALL RESPONDENTS

Before we start, I want to assure you that this interview is completely confidential and voluntary. If we should come to a question you don't want to answer; just let me know and we'll go on to the next question. This interview will take about 10 minutes.

AGE. What was your age on your last birthday?
(WE NEED TO ASK THIS QUESTION HERE IRB)

_____ years

YRSMSLA. How many years have you lived in the Missoula valley area?

_____ years

HHSIZE. Including yourself, how many people are currently living in this household? Be sure to count any infants living there.

IF MORE THAN 1: HHCHD18. How many are under the age of 18?

HHCLD6: How many are under the age of 6?

SATIS1: How satisfied are you with the Urban Area trail system, such as Kim Williams. On a scale from 1 to 5, where one is not at all satisfied and five is very satisfied, how satisfied are you with the Urban Trail system?

```
1 NOT AT ALL SATISFIED
```

3

ر •

5 VERY SATISFIED

8 DK

9 REFUSED

SATIS2: How satisfied are you with the level of maintenance in the area's Parks? On a scale from 1 to 5, where one is not at all satisfied and five is very satisfied, how satisfied are you with the level of maintenance in the area's parks?

1 NOT AT ALL SATISFIED

2

3

4

5 VERY SATISFIED

8 DK

9 REFUSED

SATIS3: How satisfied are you with the overall amount, quality and distribution of conservation lands, such Mount Jumbo? On a scale from 1 to 5, where one is not at all satisfied and five is very satisfied, how satisfied are you with Missoula's conservation lands?

1 NOT AT ALL SATISFIED

2

3

4

5 VERY SATISFIED

8 DK 0 NO 9 REFUSED 8 DK 9 REFUSED SATIS4: Finally, how satisfied are you with the overall quality of the Missoula Urban d. User or program fees? Area park system. On a scale from 1 to 5. where one is not at all satisfied and five is 1 YES very satisfied, how satisfied are you with the 0 NO overall quality of the urban PARK system? 8 DK 9 REFUSED 1 NOT AT ALL SATISFIED e. Difficult access such as busy streets? 3 1 YES 4 **5 VERY SATISFIED** 0 NO 8 DK 8 DK 9 REFUSED 9 REFUSED f. Overcrowding? ACC1: Now some questions about access to Missoula area parks. 1 YES 0 NO Do you agree or disagree with the following 8 DK statement: 9 REFUSED "I have easy access to my local g. Poor maintenance? neighborhood park." 1 YES 1 AGREE 0 NO 2 DISAGREE 8 DK 3 DO NOT USE PARKS 9 REFUSED 8 DK 9 REFUSED h. Dogs? 1 YES ACC2: Do any of the following reasons prevent you from using or using more often. 0 NO the area's parks or recreation facilities? 8 DK 9 REFUSED a. A physical disability? 1 YES i. Poorly designed facility? ONO 8 DK 1 YES 9 REFUSED 0 NO 8 DK b. Distance from home? 9 REFUSED 1 YES j. Lack of restrooms? 0 NO 8 DK 1 YES

other park users?

9 REFUSED

c. Safety concerns or rowdy behavior of

0 NO 8 DK

9 REFUSED

k. Doesn't contain desired features or facilities?

- 1 YES (ASK FOLLOWUP)
- 0 NO
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

ACCk1: What features or facilities are lacking?

PARK: There are many different types of parks and public recreational facilities. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, about the right number of the following types of parks.

1. Natural conservation lands?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

2. Developed parks?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

3. Indoor cultural/activity centers?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

4. Public golf courses?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

FAC: Facilities are an important part of park and recreation system. Please tell me if there are too many, not enough, or about the right number of facilities offered by local government?

1. Playgrounds?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

2. Natural surface trails (horseback, jogging, mountain bike)?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

3. Developed, manicured park areas?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

4. Senior recreation centers?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

5. Teen recreation centers?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

6. Natural areas within urban parks?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

7. Passive use park areas (Sitting, reading, gardens)?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

8. Exercise or fitness equipment?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

9. Tennis courts?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

10. Outdoor basketball courts?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

11. Outdoor swimming or wading pools?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

12. Softball-baseball parks?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

13. Multipurpose fields such as soccerfootball fields?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK

9 REFUSED

14. Paved trails (bike, walk, jog, skate)?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

15. In-line hockey rinks?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

16. Skate parks?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

17. Wildlife and natural area information stations?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

18. River access sites?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

19. Outdoor facilities for performances or festivals?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

20. Picnic facilities?

- 1 TOO MANY
- 2 ABOUT RIGHT
- 3 NOT ENOUGH

8 DK 9 REFUSED	FAVOR2: Why do you like it?
21. Dog specific areas?	
1 TOO MANY 2 ABOUT RIGHT 3 NOT ENOUGH 8 DK 9 REFUSED	We know not everyone uses parks and trails the same way. I'm going to read a list of activities that can occur in parks. Please tell me if you, YOURSELF, used a park or trail for
22. Sculpture-public artworks?	the following activities during the last year.
1 TOO MANY 2 ABOUT RIGHT 3 NOT ENOUGH 8 DK 9 REFUSED	USE1: Did you, YOURSELF, use the Missoula trail system during the last year? 1 YES (ASK FOLLOWUP) 0 NO 8 DK
23. Gyms or indoor recreation facilities?	9 REFUSED
1 TOO MANY 2 ABOUT RIGHT 3 NOT ENOUGH 8 DK 9 REFUSED 24. Indoor swimming pools?	USE1A: How did you use the trail system? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY) Walk/hike on trail system Mountain bike on open space trails Recreational bicycling on paved trails Road bicycling Dog walking
1 TOO MANY 2 ABOUT RIGHT 3 NOT ENOUGH 8 DK 9 REFUSED	USE1B: About how many times in total did you use the trail system in the last year? 1 1-5 times 2 6-20 times 3 Over 20 time
25. Arts and crafts facilities? 1 TOO MANY	8 DK 9 REFUSED
2 ABOUT RIGHT 3 NOT ENOUGH 8 DK	USE2: Did you, YOURSELF, use the park system for passive activities?
9 REFUSED 26. Any other facilities or space for activities? (SPECIFY)	1 YES (ASK FOLLOWUP) 0 NO 8 DK 9 REFUSED
	USE2A: What passive activities? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
FAVOR1: What is your favorite Missoula Park area?	Picnic in parks General use of parks Observe others participate in a sport (e.g. family or friends baseball, tennis, etc.) Arts and crafts classes

Festivals or other community gatherings

USE2B: About how many times in total did you use the parks for passive activities in the last year?

- 1 1-5 times
- 2 6-20 times
- 3 Over 20 time
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

USE3: Did you use them Missoula parks for team sports during the last year?

- 1 YES (ASK FOLLOWUP)
- 0 NO
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

USE3A: What sports?

Soccer

Baseball / Softball

Football Ice Hockey Basketball In-line hockey Volleyball

USE3B: About how many times in total did you use the parks for team sports in the last year?

- 1 1-5 times
- 2 6-20 times
- 3 Over 20 time
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

USE4: Did you use them Missoula parks for individual sports during the last year?

- 1 YES (ASK FOLLOWUP)
- 0 NO
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

USE4A: What individual sports did you use the parks?

Recreational ice-skating Tennis

Golf

Disc Golf or Folf

Organized exercise programs

USE4B: About how many times in total did you use the park system for individual sports in the last year?

- 1 1-5 times
- 2 6-20 times
- 3 Over 20 time
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

USE5: Did you use the Missoula park system for outdoor recreation such as boating during the last year?

1 YES (ASK FOLLOWUP)

0 NO

8 DK

9 REFUSED

USE5A: How did you use the parks for outdoor recreation activities?

Guided nature walk
Outdoor recreation skills education
(bouldering, orienteering, climbing, rafting,
ropes course, etc.)
River boating (kayak, canoe, raft)
Fishing

USE5B: About how many times in total did you use the park system for outdoor recreation in the last year?

- 1 1-5 times
- 2 6-20 times
- 3 Over 20 time
- 8 DK
- 9 REFUSED

USE6: Anything else (SPECIFY)

USE7: Do you have children at home?

1 YES (ASK NEXT QUESTIONS) 0 NO (GO TO) USE8: Do they participate in any park related activities at a public facility (e.g. not on the driveway or in the street with neighborhood kids)?

1 YES (ASK FOLLOWUP)

0 NO

8 DK

9 REFUSED

USE8a: What activities do they participate in? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

Mountain bicycling Skateboarding Disc golf River boating

Fishing

Walking/hiking in Urban Area

Soccer Basketball In-line hockey

Recreational in-line skating

Volleyball Football Tennis

Swimming lessons or teams

Recreational swimming

Baseball Softball Ice Hockey Golf

Other? (SPECIFY)

TAX: For the facilities that you think there should be more of, would you generally support or oppose an increase in property taxes to provide them?

1 SUPPORT

2 OPPOSE

3 OTHER (SPECIFY)

8 DK

9 REFUSED

CITY: Do you live within the Missoula City limits?

1 YES, IN THE CITY

0 NO

8 DK

9 REFUSED

SCHOOL: Which middle school do the children in your neighborhood usually attend?

1 WASHINGTON

2 CS PORTER

3 MEADOW HILL

4 RATTLESNAKE

5 TARGET RANGE

6 HELLGATE

7 OTHER (SPECIFY)

8 DK

9 REFUSED

TENURE. Do you own or rent the home you now live in?

1 OWN (GO TO EDUC)

2 RENT

3 OTHER HOUSING ARRANGEMENT

TAXES. Do you pay property taxes for a home, a business, a rental property, or other land that you own in Missoula County?

1 Yes

0 No

EDUC. What was the highest level of education you received?

1 Less than high school

2 H.S. diploma or G.E.D.

3 Associate, two-year, junior college

4 Bachelor's degree

5 Master's degree

6 Ph.D.

7 Professional (M.D., J.D., D.D.S.)

INCOME. What was your total household income from in all sources in 2003? Will it be...

7 More than \$100K

6 Between \$50K and \$100K

5 Between \$35K and \$50K

4 Between \$20K and \$35K

3 Between \$15K and \$20K

2 Between \$10K and \$15K

1 Under \$10K

COMMENTS: Do you have any comments about the Missoula Urban parks system and facilities? (ENTER VERBTIM)

Thank you very much for your time and help!

SEX OF RESPONDENT (CHOSEN DURING THE SELECTION PROCEDURE)

1 MALE 2 FEMALE